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Abstract : The objective of this study is to determine spatio-temporal variations of water volume over inundated areas located in large river basins using combined observations from the Synthetic Aperture Radar (SAR) onboard the Japanese Earth Resources Satellite (JERS-1), the Topex/Poseidon (T/P) altimetry satellite, and in-situ hydrographic stations. Ultimately, the goal is to quantify the role of floodplains for partitioning water and sediment fluxes over the great fluvial basins of the world. SAR
images are used to identify the type of surface (open water, inundated areas, forest) and, hence, the areas covered with water. Both radar altimetry data and in-situ hydrographic measurements yield water level time series. The basin of the Negro river, the tributary which carries the largest discharge to the Amazon river, was selected as a test site. By combining area estimates derived from radar images classification with changes in water level, variations of water volume (focusing on a seasonal cycle) have been obtained. The absence of relationship between water volume and inundated area, reflecting the diverse and widely dispersed floodplains of the basin, is one of the main result of this study.

1. INTRODUCTION

Extensive floodplains along large South American rivers, such as Amazon, Paraná or Orinoco, have a significant role in the hydrological cycle of fluvial basins. Transport of water and sediments by rivers is substantially modified during residence of river water in floodplains. During its stay in these inundation areas, river water from is not only delayed in its transit to sea and affected by evapotranspiration, but it is also often subject to large biogeochemical changes due to sedimentation, nutrient uptake by the biota, and modifications of redox conditions (Hamilton et al., 2002). The water storage in these wetlands and its outflow represent a significant part of the water balance in the basin (Alsdorf et al., 2001; Richey et al., 1989).

Determining the temporal variation of water volume stored in the floodplains of great river basins is the subject of many applications in hydrology. For the inundated areas permanently or temporarily connected to main channels, the determination of the water volume variation is equivalent to the estimation of water volume potentially stored and/or released by the valley reach during flood stages. The water volume variation in this type of inundated areas is an
important parameter for the hydrodynamic modeling of the river flow and the determination of its transport capacity. For the inundated areas that never connect to the main channel, the volume variation is essentially a function of the base flow variation, the inputs from the local basin and the rain. Some floodplains present both types of flooding zones. Areas where the river water mixes with the local water are called “perirheic zones” (Mertes, 1997). In all cases, the inundation area is a buffer zone between the river and the upland watershed. The water volume variation represents the flood pulse of floodplains as expressed by Junk et al. (1989). Therefore, it is a key ecological characteristic that cannot be easily measured in the field.

To better understand the hydrology of large river systems, information about the dynamics of inundation patterns (extent of flooded areas) and water levels of main river channels, tributaries and associated floodplains is required (Alsdorf et al., 2000). Spatial and temporal patterns of inundation areas can be inferred from multi-temporal satellite images: visible/infrared (IR) or SAR sensors are used to delineate floodplains (Mertes et al., 1995; Smith, 1997; Töyrä et al., 2001; Hess et al., 2004). In addition, the potential of satellite radar altimetry for monitoring water levels of large rivers has already been demonstrated (Birkett, 1998; Oliveira Campos et al., 2001; Maheu et al., 2003). Birkett et al. (2002) undertook an extensive validation study of water levels derived from Topex/Poseidon radar over the entire Amazon basin and used satellite radar altimetry to estimate elevation profiles and water surface gradients along the Amazon mainstream.

In this study, a new method is proposed to estimate both flooded area and water levels to determine the water volume stored in the floodplains of the Negro river, the largest tributary of the Amazon river, during the 1995-1996 seasonal cycle.

2. PREVIOUS STUDIES
Many methods have been employed to estimate the extent of inundation and a review of how remote sensing is used to map inundation can be found in Smith (1997). The simplest model used to determine the extent of flood consists in intersecting a plane representing the water surface with a Digital Elevation Model (DEM) (Priestnall et al., 2000). However, it leads to an overestimation of the flooding zones with some areas that are not connected to the flood being incorrectly considered as inundated (Bates, 2000). Inundation patterns of large floodplains of South America have been derived using the polarization difference at 37 GHz of the SMMR passive microwave emission measurements (Hamilton et al., 2002). The spatial resolution of the grids derived from SMMR data is 0.25°. Approximate boundaries of floodplains can thus be retrieved. Relations between stage and flooded area have been derived using records from in-situ gauge-stations (Sippel et al., 1998). These studies estimated the spatial and temporal variability of floodplain inundation. Richards et al. (1987) reported the bright return of flooded forest in L band by corner reflection between trunks and water surface beneath the canopy. Early results from Hess et al. (1995) deal with the mapping of inundated areas of the central Amazon floodplain with the SIR-C SAR, and Wang et al. (1995) compared C- and L-bands with respect to the detection of flooding in Amazonian forests. Smith et al. (1995, 1996) estimated discharge from braided glacial rivers with ERS-1 SAR images. Almost all studies dealing with the extent of inundation in the Amazon floodplain been with the central main stem of the Amazon except for Richey et al. (2002), who used the Amazon basin wide inundation map based on the dual-season JERS-1 mosaic from (Hess et al., 2003) to estimate outgassing from Amazonian rivers and wetlands.

To estimate the flood stage, various remote sensing methods have been tested because in-situ gauges are very seldomly located in wetlands. Inundation areas and ground measurements of stage yield a high linear correlation, for example in the case of the Ob river (Usachev, 1983), and therefore, water elevation has been estimated using the inundation extent. Hayashi and
van der Kamp (2000) proposed a power function with two parameters to represent the relation of elevation with area or volume in small shallow ponds or topographic depression of the Northern American prairie regions. Townshend and Walsh (1998) examined various procedures to determine the elevation of the flood level from a detailed DEM. Generally, the modeling of flooding processes is achieved through 2-D formulations, but stage data are lacking for constraining and validating these models. Townsend and Foster (2002) proposed a SAR-based statistical model to predict flood extent and river discharge in a North-American river basin. Landsat Thematic Mapper imagery has also been used to understand the relationship between wetland inundation and river flow (Frazier et al., 2003). Stage estimation by satellite radar altimetry coupled with flooded area estimation by SAR imagery offers an alternative approach that has not been utilized in previous studies.

3. THE NEGRO RIVER SUB-BASIN

The Negro River sub-basin covers only 12% of the entire Amazon basin. However, it is the largest tributary to the Amazon river and ranks as the fifth largest river in the world for its water discharge (Meade et al., 1991). It is the major northern tributary as it joins the Solimões River to form the Amazon downstream from Manaus, and drains about 700,000 km² of Colombia (10%), Venezuela (6%), Guyana (2%) and Brazil (82%). It extends from 73.25° to 59.35° longitude West and from 5.4° North to 3.35° latitude South (Fig. 1). It is characterized by the dark color of its water, due to high content in dissolved organic matter and a low sediment load (Sternberg, 1975), and is a low gradient river, which partly accounts for (with the high amount of precipitation) the considerable extension of the floodplains. Rainfall in the sub-basin varies greatly both in space and time. Mean annual precipitation rates vary by more than 50% within the Negro river basin, from less than 2000 mm/yr (with
minimum values less than 1700 mm/yr recorded in the northern part of the Branco river basin), to between 2250 and 2500 mm/yr near Manaus and up to 3000 mm/yr in the northwest (Liebmann and Marengo, 2001). Timing of the rainy season differs widely along a south to north gradient: the beginning of the rainy season occurs in December in the south and in March or April in the north, whereas the rainy period ends from May to October (Marengo et al., 2001).

3. DATASETS AND METHODS

3.1 DATASETS FOR FLOOD MAPPING

3.1.1 JERS-1 RADAR MOSAICS

High resolution maps (100 m resolution) of the entire rain forests across the tropical regions were acquired in the framework of the Global Rain Forest Mapping Project (GRFM), an international collaboration initiated and managed by the National Space Development Agency of Japan (NASDA). JERS-1 was an L-band (wavelength of 23.5 cm) SAR satellite (Chapman et al., 2002), launched in February 1992 and operated until October 1998. The L-band signals are sensitive both to open water and standing water below the forest canopy (Rosenqvist et al., 2000). The GRFM project consisted of dual-season mapping of the global tropical forests during less than a one year period. The data acquired over the Amazon basin were composed of a set of two continental-scale mosaics acquired in relatively short time spans: September to December 1995 and May to July 1996. They were made available by the GRFM project on CD-ROMs where the continental-scale mosaics were divided into smaller regional mosaics (typically 6° x 6°). These two mosaics captured low and high water stages for the major part of the Amazon basin. Although these two mosaics are not an exact match for the high and low stages in the case of the Negro river sub-basin, they will be nonetheless thus defined in the following sections. The hydrological cycle as revealed by T/P data will be discussed and we
will estimate the difference relative to ground measurements of high and low stages within the basin.

3.1.2 CLASSIFICATION METHOD FOR FLOOD EXTENT

Several studies have reported the classification of the GRFM dual mosaics over Brazil to discriminate main land cover types and map either the extent of deforestation or delineate the flooded areas (Saatchi et al., 2000; Siqueira et al., 2003; Hess et al., 2003). Classification approaches have been restricted to a simple but nonetheless robust classifier based on the thresholding of each individual mosaics in order to retrieve pre-defined classes. The two individual backscatter vectors have been replaced by two composite vectors based on (i) the mean backscatter coefficient computed over the two seasons and (ii) the ratio of both seasons giving the change value. Mean backscatter provides the rough vegetation type while the change channel yields the estimate regarding the probability of change. As the data provided is absolutely calibrated and little sensitive to any other effect than flood (i.e., vegetation growth, soil moisture), any significant change is linked to a variation of the flood status.

The classifier allocates a status to the pixel in relation to the flood: never flooded; occasionally flooded; permanently flooded. Also provided is the broad land cover type: open water / bare soil / pasture / low vegetation / forest. This leads to an 8-theme classification (Fig. 2) excluding a number of combinations between flood status and land cover type (for instance open water and non-flooded): (1) open water / (2) occasionally flooded forest / (3) non flooded forest / (4) non flooded bare soils or low vegetation / (5) occasionally flooded pastures / (6) occasionally flooded low vegetation / (7) always flooded forest / (8) submerged vegetation. Methodological artifacts caused by the relief, urban areas or rivers of limited width were identified and corrected.

Validation against alternative dataset from other remote sensing data source is impossible at a large scale since only radar data are sensitive to flood conditions whatever the vegetation
cover. Unfortunately, no other radar cover of the Amazon basin exists for the area with roughly the same specifications (wavelength, spatial resolution). The best that can be done is local-scale validation and extrapolation to the rest of the dataset. This approach has been already developed using either airborne radar data, airborne digital videography or direct fieldwork on limited areas. The estimation of accuracy for a test site near Obidos confirmed the other fieldwork validations, with a conservative classification accuracy better than 90% for forested areas and 70% for low vegetated themes due to the low sensitivity of the L-band data to the low vegetation and smooth surfaces.

Classification of the JERS-1 dual mosaics allows us to map the flooded areas for low water stage (i.e., permanently flooded areas) and high water stage (combination of permanently and temporarily flooded areas) during the 1995-1996 seasonal cycle. The situation corresponding to JERS-1 low water stage (Fig. 3) derived from the combination of classes (1) and (6) whereas the JERS-1 high water stage (Fig. 4) is obtained from the combination of classes (1), (2), (5), (6), (7) and (8).

3.2 TOPEX/POSEIDON DATASET FOR WATER STAGES

3.2.1 TOPEX/POSEIDON RAW-ALTIMETER MEASUREMENTS

The T/P radar altimeter is the first dual frequency sensor of a joint French and U.S. mission, whose goal is to substantially improve our understanding of global ocean dynamics by making accurate measurements of the ocean surface topography (Fu and Cazenave, 2001). It operates in Ku and C-band, at frequencies (wavelengths) of 13.6 GHz (2.3 cm) and 5.3 GHz (5.8 cm), respectively. During its 10-day repeat cycle, T/P has provided along-track nadir measurements of Earth surface elevation (ocean and continental surfaces) between 66° latitude north and 66° latitude south since it was launched in October 1992. Radar altimetry entails vertical range measurements between the satellite and the Earth surface. The water
levels to the reference ellipsoid are given by the difference between the satellite orbit information and the range (Fu and Cazenave, 2001) or altimetric height. Measurements and associated corrections (regularly updated and upgraded) are contained in the Geophysical Data Records (GDR) made available by the Archiving, Validation and Interpretation of Satellite Data in Oceanography (AVISO) data centre at the Centre National d’Etudes Spatiales (CNES), (AVISO, 1996). Data consist of range values derived from radar altimeter echoes at 1/10 s (or 10 Hz) and averaged values at 1s (or 1 Hz), corresponding to along-track ground spacing of 596 m and 5.96 km, respectively. The corrections applied in this study are ionospheric, dry tropospheric, solid Earth tide, and Pole tide corrections. From the two ionospheric corrections available, we applied the onboard Doppler Orbitography and Radiopositioning Integrated by Satellite (DORIS) based on the measurement of the ionosphere electronic content (the dual-frequency ionospheric correction, generally used in ocean studies, is not valid over land). The wet tropospheric correction is not applied in the present study. From the two tropospheric corrections available, the first one, derived from the Topex Microwave Radiometer (TMR) measurements of water vapour, is unusable over land due to microwave emissions from continental surfaces. The second one, derived from estimates of atmospheric water vapour content by the General Circulation Model (GCM) from the European Centre for Medium Range Weather Forecast (ECMWF), is lacking in the AVISO database. The lack of the wet tropospheric correction can lead to an overestimation of the altimetric range up to 30 cm. The spatial and temporal variations of the wet tropospheric correction are assumed to be negligible within the Negro river sub-basin (equatorial location and relatively small spatial extent). The location of the satellite tracks over Negro River basin is given in Fig. 1.

3.2.2 T/P TIME SERIES CONSTRUCTION
Each intersection between the river or flooded areas (as determined by the SAR classification) and the satellite ground-track defines a so-called “altimetric station”, practically defined as a rectangular window. In each cycle, the water level at a given altimetric station is obtained by the computation of the median of all the high-rate data (10 Hz) included in the rectangular window. This process, repeated every 10 days, allows the construction of the time series of water level associated with each altimetric station. The dispersion in L1 norm is given by the estimator known as median absolute deviation (Eq. 1):

$$MAD(x) = \frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N} |x_i - x_{med}| \quad (1)$$

where $MAD(x)$ is the mean absolute deviation of the observations, $N$ the number of observations, $x_i$ the $i^{th}$ observation, and $x_{med}$ the median of the observations.

Water levels are expressed with reference to geoid EIGEN-GRACE02S. This geoid is derived from the first year of GRACE space gravity mission measurements, which monitored the time-space variations of the gravity field with a resolution of $2^\circ \times 2^\circ$ at the equator and an accuracy of 1 cm on the geoid height (Reigber et al., 2005).

Examples of water level time series are given for different types of water (Fig. 5):

- single channel river,
- complex channel rivers: in this case, an altimeter virtual station corresponds to each channel,
- flooded areas.

Fig. 5 shows a comparison between an altimetric time series located 8.5 km upstream Manaus and the in-situ recorded water levels at Manaus gauging station. In this example, the accuracy of the T/P derived time-series is 27 cm.

The width of the rectangular window that defines the altimetric station depends on the size of the flooded zone, but is generally about $0.05^\circ$. When the dimension of the flooded area, as is
often the case, exceeds 0.05°, several altimetric stations are defined. Increasing the number of altimetric stations improves the sample size and leads to a better estimation of water level variations at fine scales.

3.2.3 IN-SITU TIME SERIES CONSTRUCTION

The Brazilian Water Agency (Agencia Nacional de Aguas or ANA) is in charge of managing a network of 571 gauging stations in the Brazilian part of the Amazon basin (http://www.ana.gov.br). At each station, daily measurements of water stage are collected and daily estimates of discharge are produced using rating curves, obtained from periodic (sometimes several times a year) simultaneous measures of stage and discharge. Among these 571 inventoried gauges, 46 are located in the Negro River sub-basin and 25 of them have records over the last 20 years (see their locations on Fig. 1). The others have only temporary records that did not include the 1995-1996. From these 25 in-situ gauge stations, only 8 are located within the T/P groundtracks framework and can, therefore be utilized, by interpolation of the river slope between the two nearest T/P tracks, to estimate stage variation at the altimetric stations.

3.3 WATER LEVEL MAPS FOR HIGH AND LOW STAGES

Water level time series were available for 88 T/P altimetric stations (Fig. 1). In addition, water level measurements from eight in-situ leveled gauge stations are used to complete the dataset. Values for minimum and maximum water levels were estimated at the date of the JERS mosaic. For each altimetric station, the value of the T/P time series, built from 10 days repeat cycle, was estimated by interpolation at the date of the JERS acquisition (the date of acquisition of the individual scenes composing the JERS mosaic can be found at http://trfic.jpl.nasa.gov/GRFM/jersframe.html). Water level maps for low and high water stage in the flooded zones were produced by linear interpolation using the eight nearest
neighbours scheme to estimate the water level for each grid point. A grid step of 0.002° was chosen, which represents about 200m, as we have not been able to retrieve valid altimeter data for rivers under 250 m width. The T/P intertrack is 315 km long at the equator. The upstream part of the Negro river is orientated North/South. It flows from West to East after the confluence with the Uaupes, a West/East flowing tributary. The Branco river is orientated North/South. Two types of intersection are observed: the satellite crosses the river and the satellite track runs along strike the river. Over the western/eastern flowing parts of the river network, the T/P tracks cross the river and its floodplain system, allowing to define several altimetric stations over the the river mainstream and the associated floodplains. As a consequence, the interpolation in the along-track direction follows the difference of water levels between the mainstream and the floodplain. In the cross-track direction, interpolation over several hundreds of kilometers will only reflect the mean slope of the river. Over the northern/southern flowing parts of the river network, the T/P tracks run along strike the river.

In these cases, depending on the choice of the geographical coordinates of the altimetric station, the time series can be influenced by the variation of level within the adjacent floodplain (Birkett et al., 2002). These authors did not report obvious amplitude or phase differences due to the inclusion of some floodplain. The difference of water levels between the mainstream and the inundated floodplain reported by Alsdorf (2003) on the Amazon floodplain (~11 cm) is generally lower than the measurement dispersion. The water level maps for low and high stages obtained with this method are shown in Fig. 6 and Fig. 7, respectively, and from them estimated the difference between JERS-1 high and low stages (Fig. 8). A negligible number of values (0.02 %) were negative (mainly located on the boundaries where – T/P or in-situ – are scarce or lacking). The strong seasonal water level variations of the lower Negro river can be recognized in the difference of water level of about 10 m near Manaus. However, these maps correspond to minimum and maximum water levels.
at the date of the JERS mosaics. As we have noted previously, they fail to match the effective high and low stages. Thus, the minimum and maximum stages from the T/P time series have been estimated.

3.4 WATER VOLUME VARIATION ESTIMATION

The combination of SAR images, radar altimetry measurements, and in-situ gauge stations, yields information on the water volume variation during the seasonal cycle. The Negro river was subdivided into eleven sub-basins corresponding to the major tributaries of the Negro river. For each sub-basin, the 2-D grid representing the water level variation was converted into an Arcview 3D format (Triangulated Irregulated Network or TIN) with a vertical step of 10 cm - the maximum accuracy that can be obtained from radar altimetry on rivers and wetlands (Birkett, 1998). 3D representations of the water stored during the 1995-1996 seasonal cycle were created, allowing us to compute the water volume variation between JERS-1 high and low stages. The error on the method was estimated using (Eq. 6):

$$d\delta V = \sum_{i=1}^{n}(dS_i,\delta h_i + S_i,d\delta h_i) \quad (Eq. 6)$$

where: $d\delta V$ is the error on the water volume variation ($\delta V$),

$S_i$ is the $i^{th}$ elementary surface,

$\delta h_i$ is the $i^{th}$ elementary water level variation between high and low stage,

$dS_i$ is the error on the $i^{th}$ elementary surface,

$d\delta h_i$ is the error on the $i^{th}$ elementary water level variation between high and low stage.

The error sources include mis-classifications, T/P altimetry measurements and the linear interpolation method.

3.5 ESTIMATION OF HALF-PEAK FLOW INTEGRATED DISCHARGE
The half-flood peak time-integrated discharge represents the volume of water that has flown into the mainstream during the rising water period. It is defined as the ratio of the water potential storage within the floodplain over the effective volume of water that have flowing into the mainstream during the same period. The water potential storage is the result of our method of estimation of water volume variation. The effective water volume flowing into the main stream is the time-integrated discharge between low stage of 1995 and the peak flow of 1996 from each sub-basin. The discharge values used in this study are derived from water levels recorded at in-situ stations located at the mouth of each sub-basin.

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1 SPATIAL DISTRIBUTION OF THE INUNDATED AREAS

The results obtained by the JERS dual mosaic for the Rio Negro basin are given in Fig. 2. The total area subject to flooding is 35,944 km² for the JERS-1 low water acquisition and 152,721 km² for the JERS-1 high water acquisition. Table 1 partitions flooded areas according to the type of surface and vegetation. The results of flood mapping are shown in Fig. 3 for JERS-1 low and high water stage. The two maps highlight the importance of floodplains in the Negro River sub-basin.

The different classes identified as inundated areas (permanent or temporary) by the classifier are not evenly distributed within the Negro River basin. This heterogeneous distribution causes the great variety of situations observed during the high and low water stages (Table 2 and Table 3). Generally, the inundated area is much wider (several times) during high water stage than low water stage, except for the Carabinani sub-basin in which 20% of the surface is always flooded. Different behaviours can be observed according to the geographical location of the sub-basins. In the eastern part of the basin (Negro upstream and Uaupes rivers), i.e., Cucui, Curicuriari, Sao Felipe and Serrinha sub-basins, almost 5% of the basin is inundated during low water stage and 2 to 3 times more during high water stage. The situation
is totally different in the northern part (Caracarai) and downstream (Foz do Branco, Unini, Jau, Negro downstream): the flooded area during high water stage is between 15 and 30% (increase factor greater than 4). With 12% and 54% of the land being inundated during the low and high water stages respectively, the Branco river sub-basin differs from other downstream sub-basins in terms of importance of inundated areas. Its flow is controlled by the Negro River mainstem. As a consequence, a high percentage of the sub-basin is always inundated (up to 24% of the flooded areas of the Negro River basin during high water stage).

4.2 HIGH AND LOW WATER LEVELS

The T/P altimetry stations are unevenly distributed across the basin (Fig. 1). Among the 88 T/P stations where water level time series can be built, 34 are located on rivers and 54 on wetlands. Five (four on the rivers and one on a flooded area) are located on the Uaupes river flowing from the Colombian part of the watershed, on the western side of the Negro basin. This part of the basin is poorly known, no records from in-situ gauges are available. Fifteen are located on the upper Negro, near the Venezuelan border and the divide with Orinoco basin. Most of them are present on a large unmonitored inundated area. Two in-situ gauges within this area have recorded data for the 1995-1996 period and can be levelled using altimetry. The presence of a great number of gauge stations (in-situ and altimetric) gives the opportunity to describe the hydrological cycle of that part of the basin. Some altimetric stations are located on the right bank of Negro River, in an unmonitored part of the basin. The others allow monitoring of the Branco River flowing from the north, and downstream along the Negro River. This important number of stations (96 encompassing 88 altimetric and 8 in-situ), distributed on river channels and wetlands, is necessary to estimate accurately water volume variations. Table 4 reports mean standard deviations for water levels on river channels and flooded areas. The precision is better on river channels than on inundated areas.
Minimum standard deviations of around 10 cm are measured on downstream rivers with large open water areas when the pulse emitted by the altimeter is not scattered by vegetation, whereas maximum standard deviations of around 60 cm is observed on flooded areas covered with dense vegetation. In Table 4 are also reported the differences between water level measured at the dates of the JERS mosaic, and the minimum and maximum water levels, respectively measured at low and high stage (1995-1996) and for the whole period between 1992 and 2002. The difference is lower for inundated areas than for river channels, and is lower at low stage than high stage. The difference is about 0.4 m for low water stage and lower than 1 m for high water stage for the 1995-1996 period.

Using the altimetric gauge stations present on river channels, we have determined the profiles of Negro River and its main tributaries - Branco and Uaupes (Fig. 8). For each altimetric station, the difference between water levels recorded at the JERS mosaic date, and respectively the minimum level of 1995 and the maximum level of 1996 is reported in Table 5. For Uaupes River, low stage mean difference is about 1 m, ranging from about 0.5m upstream and reaching 2 m downstream, whereas for the high stage, the difference is quasi-constant around 1 m. On the Branco River the differences are quasi-constant for high and low stage, respectively around 1m and 0.30 m. More important differences are observed on the Negro River mainstem: for low stage differences are lower than 1m, whereas they can reach several meters during high water stage. The JERS radar mosaic represents the low water stage for the downstream (southeastern part of the basin) but is out of phase with the lowest water levels for the northwestern part of the basin, whereas it is the contrary for the high water stage (representative for the northeastern part and not for the southeastern part). These underestimations of the maximum water level can lead to erroneous water volume estimation.

4.3 WATER VOLUME VARIATIONS
The water volume variations characterize the volume of water potentially stored in the inundated areas. The water volume variations are more important at the confluences between the mainstream and its tributaries (Serrinha, Foz do Branco, Branco and Negro downstream sub-basins). During high stage, the water level of the tributaries (Uaupes, Branco and Negro as a tributary of the Solimões) is controlled by the water level of the mainstem, causing large inundation near the confluences (Fig. 9). The intensity of this phenomenon is lower during low stage. These backwater effects have been already reported by Meade et al. (1991) in the entire Amazon basin and particularly in the Negro river sub-basin. We have then compared the water volume variations with the volume flowing during the flood from each sub-basin where discharge data were available. The percentage area of the basin that is inundated at high water stage is inversely related to the ratio between the flown water volume and the stored water volume, i.e. the storage capacity of the floodplains is related to their extent. But this relation is not proportional, and the storage capacity of the floodplains cannot be inferred from their spatial extent (Fig. 9 and Table 2). The nonlinear relationship between potential storage capacity within the floodplain and flown water volume during the flood is somewhat related to the residence time of the water in the floodplain. This ratio can be considered as a global indicator of the basin behaviour during flooding processes.

Using Eq. 6 and taking into account the different sources of error, we have estimated the minimum and maximum storage. For the whole basin, we obtained a minimum change in water storage 26% lower than our estimation and a maximum change 34 % greater.

5. CONCLUSION

For the first time, the combined use of altimetric water level observations and inundation patterns to determine water volume variations provides valuable information on the inundation dynamics of river floodplains. In this study, we calculated the water storage changes during the 1995-1996 hydrological cycle of the Negro river. It corresponds to a
volume variation of 331 km$^3$ for the whole floodplain. This result was compared with the
water volume flown during the flood. The storage capacity of the floodplain cannot be derived
from their extent. The potential storage capacity within the floodplain is not proportional to
the flown water during the flood.

The use of the GRFM dual-season mosaics of JERS-1 SAR images allows to accurately map
(with a resolution of 100 m) the Negro River basin according to the type of vegetation and the
inundation status. The classification results were used to delineate flood extent at high and
low stage. Unfortunately, the date of acquisition of the mosaics may not coincide with the real
maxima (respectively minima) registered during the hydrological cycle. Characterization of
the seasonal and interannual variation of inundation could be improved for low vegetated
areas combining JERS with other sensors (Radarsat and ASAR for radar imagery or SPOT
VGT, MODIS and MERIS for multispectral imagery).

Satellite radar altimetry has the ability to monitor variations in water level over wetlands,
rivers and associated floodplains. It represents a unique source of data for floodplain, as the
in-situ data records are generally located on the river mainstream. Although the radar
altimetric measurements are still suffering severe limitations (Birkett, 1998; Birkett et al.,
2002), the capability to sample, from one cycle to another, both mainstream and floodplain is
a clear advantage compared with traditional in-situ measurements. This aspect is essential
because it has been shown that the water volume stored in floodplains cannot be inferred from
the flood extent, nor the elevation of the flood by its extent. On the contrary, it seems that
significant geographical discrepancies exist in the way the flood extends with increasing
water level. Better results of water cycle monitoring can be expected from a better sampling
both in time and space. To achieve this goal, the combination of data from the present radar
altimeters (T/P, ERS-1&2, Jason-1, ENVISAT RA-2) can be useful. With the current
altimeters, the difference in water level between the rivers and the associated floodplains is
lower or equal to the dispersion of the measurements. The next generation of altimeters (Cryosat, Jason-2, the Water mission) is expected to provide a better accuracy. Thus, the choice of the geographical range reflects the nature of the selected target and a clear distinction between rivers and the associated floodplains can be achieved.
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TABLE CAPTION

Table 1: Total areas of each class in the Negro river basin, based on JERS-1 SAR mosaics from two dates.

Table 2: Inundated areas, water volume variations (ΔV) between JERS-1 high and low water stages, and half peak flow integrated discharge for each sub-basin of Negro River basin.

Table 3: Percentage of area inundated with reference to total area for each sub-basin of the Negro.

Table 4: Standard deviations (minimum, mean and maximum). Mean differences between minimum water level for 1992-2002 (Mean 1), minimum for 1995 (Mean 2) and T/P derived water levels for JERS low water. Mean differences between maximum water level for 1992-2002 (Mean 3), maximum water level for 1996 (Mean 4) and T/P derived water levels for JERS high water.

Table 5: Differences between water levels at the dates of the JERS mosaic (1995 for low water stage or 1996 for high water stage) and minimum for 1995, maximum 1996 for each T/P altimetric station over river channels.
FIGURE CAPTIONS

Figure 1: The Negro River sub-basin from JERS-1 radar mosaic. The thick white line represents the boundary of the Negro River. Each thin white line accounts for a Topex/Poseidon track. Black dots in a white circle represent in-situ gauge stations, black dots in a white square, altimetric stations over the Negro River sub-basin.

Figure 2: Classification results for the Negro River basin on JERS-1 radar images.

Figure 3: Extent of inundation as estimated from JERS-1 low water acquisition (red) and high water acquisition (black).

Figure 4: Examples of time series of water level: (a) for single channel rivers, (b) for a double channel river, (c) over flooded areas. The thin white line on the left part of (a) represents the water level variations at Manaus in-situ gauge station.

Figure 5: Water level (m wrt GRACE geoid) map for JERS-1 low water acquisition.

Figure 6: Water level (m wrt GRACE geoid) map for JERS-1 high water acquisition.

Figure 7: Map of water level difference (m) between JERS-1 low and high water acquisition.

Figure 8: Profiles of the Negro River main stream (a), Uaupes River (b) and Branco River (c).

Figure 9: Water volume variation in the Negro River sub-basin.
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Figure 7: Map with color coding for different values.
Figure 8:

(a) Graph showing water level vs. distance to Manaus (km) for different datasets.

(b) Graph showing water level vs. distance to Manaus (km) for another set of datasets.

(c) Graph showing water level vs. distance to Manaus (km) for yet another set of datasets.
Figure 9:
Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class number</th>
<th>Type of vegetation and flood</th>
<th>Area (km²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Open waters</td>
<td>14,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Occasionally flooded forest</td>
<td>79,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Non-flooded forest</td>
<td>510,650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Non-flooded bare soil or low vegetation</td>
<td>42,140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Occasionally flooded pastures</td>
<td>7,560</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Always flooded forest</td>
<td>21,860</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Occasionally flooded low vegetation</td>
<td>29,530</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Submerged vegetation during high water</td>
<td>6,650</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Watershed</th>
<th>Total area (km²)</th>
<th>Inund. (km²)</th>
<th>Inund./Total (%)</th>
<th>ΔV (km³)</th>
<th>1/2 peak flow (km³)</th>
<th>1/2 PF/ΔV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Iau</td>
<td>9,040</td>
<td>665</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curicuriari</td>
<td>12,600</td>
<td>1,010</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carabinani</td>
<td>6,970</td>
<td>620</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unini</td>
<td>23,770</td>
<td>2,480</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negro downstream</td>
<td>77,530</td>
<td>9,920</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>38.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cucui</td>
<td>70,740</td>
<td>12,140</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>64.7</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caracarai</td>
<td>120,350</td>
<td>23,580</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>42.2</td>
<td>51.6</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serrinha</td>
<td>157,950</td>
<td>35,220</td>
<td>22.3</td>
<td>57.0</td>
<td>84.0</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foz Branco</td>
<td>109,350</td>
<td>28,150</td>
<td>25.7</td>
<td>51.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sao Felipe</td>
<td>48,350</td>
<td>17,740</td>
<td>36.7</td>
<td>26.7</td>
<td>29.4</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Branco</td>
<td>75,510</td>
<td>47,430</td>
<td>62.8</td>
<td>86.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Downstream</td>
<td>302,170</td>
<td>89,270</td>
<td>29.5</td>
<td>187.0</td>
<td>302.5</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sub-basin</th>
<th>class 1</th>
<th>class 2</th>
<th>class 5</th>
<th>class 6</th>
<th>class 7</th>
<th>class 8</th>
<th>Low stage</th>
<th>High stage</th>
<th>High stage wrt Negro Basin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jau</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>12.90</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>14.33</td>
<td>6.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curicuriari</td>
<td>5.09</td>
<td>8.12</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>6.08</td>
<td>14.51</td>
<td>6.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carabinani</td>
<td>16.07</td>
<td>4.61</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>16.26</td>
<td>21.03</td>
<td>9.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unini</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>10.43</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>1.69</td>
<td>12.70</td>
<td>5.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negro downstream</td>
<td>3.53</td>
<td>7.97</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>5.26</td>
<td>14.97</td>
<td>6.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cucui</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>11.18</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>4.12</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>16.87</td>
<td>7.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caracarai</td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>4.59</td>
<td>2.18</td>
<td>15.31</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>4.25</td>
<td>26.65</td>
<td>11.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serrinha</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>6.43</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>2.38</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>3.57</td>
<td>10.95</td>
<td>4.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foz Branco</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>14.24</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>3.57</td>
<td>8.32</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>3.98</td>
<td>28.21</td>
<td>12.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sao Felipe</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>8.96</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>4.47</td>
<td>14.33</td>
<td>6.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Branco</td>
<td>5.19</td>
<td>36.92</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>6.82</td>
<td>1.88</td>
<td>2.35</td>
<td>12.01</td>
<td>54.23</td>
<td>23.79</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mean std (m)</th>
<th>Max std (m)</th>
<th>Min std (m)</th>
<th>Mean 1 (m)</th>
<th>Mean 2 (m)</th>
<th>Mean 3 (m)</th>
<th>Mean 4 (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>River</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>1.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inundated area</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td>1.37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Table 5:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>River</th>
<th>Track</th>
<th>Diff. 1995 (m)</th>
<th>Diff. 1996 (m)</th>
<th>Mean 1995 (m)</th>
<th>Mean 1996 (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Uaupes T/P 191</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.70</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>-1.03</td>
<td>1.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uaupes T/P 102</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.44</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uaupes/Negro T/P 89</td>
<td></td>
<td>-1.95</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negro T/P 89</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.75</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>-0.40</td>
<td>2.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negro T/P 89</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td>5.08</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negro T/P 254</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.43</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negro T/P 89</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>2.89</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negro T/P 89</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.09</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negro T/P 89</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>2.82</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negro T/P 89</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negro/Uaupes T/P 89</td>
<td></td>
<td>-1.95</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negro T/P 254</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negro T/P 165</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.39</td>
<td>2.78</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negro T/P 76</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negro T/P 76</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.31</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negro T/P 241</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.72</td>
<td>2.57</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negro T/P 63</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.81</td>
<td>1.69</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Branco T/P 241</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.26</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>-0.23</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Branco T/P 241</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.35</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Branco T/P 241</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.08</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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