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**ABSTRACT**

The objective of this study is to present a new application of optical and radar remote sensing with high spatial (~10 m) and temporal (a few days) resolutions for the detection of tillage and irrigation operations. The analysis was performed for irrigated wheat crops in the semi-arid Tensift/Marrakech plain (Central Morocco) using three FORMOSAT-2 images and two ASAR images acquired within one week at the beginning of the 2005/2006 agricultural season.

The approach we developed uses simple mapping algorithms (band thresholding and decision tree) for the characterisation of soil surface states. The first images acquired by FORMOSAT and ASAR were processed to classify fields into three main categories: ploughed (in depth), prepared to be sown (harrowed), and not ploughed-not harrowed. This information was combined with a change detection analysis based on multitemporal images to identify harrowing and irrigation operations which occurred between two satellite observations.

The performance of the algorithm was evaluated using data related to land use and agricultural practices collected on 124 fields. The analysis shows that drastic changes of surface states caused by ploughing or irrigation are detected without ambiguity (consistency index of 96%). This study provided evidence that optical and radar data contain complementary information for the detection of agricultural operations at the beginning of agricultural season. This information could be useful in regional decision support systems to refine crop calendars and to improve prediction of crop water needs over large areas.

© 2009 Published by Elsevier B.V.

**1. Introduction**

Half of the world food production originates from irrigated and drained soils (Bastaanssen et al., 2007), and the monitoring of soil management practices is of prime importance in agri-environmental sciences. Tillage operations affect many biophysical processes such as soil erosion, leaching, run-off and infiltration, nutrient uptake, or carbon sequestration, as well as water and CO2 exchanges (Guérif et al., 2001; Conant et al., in press; Lobb et al., 2007). On short- and mid-term concerns, mechanized soil preparation influences the fragmentation and location of crop QI residues (Guérif et al. 2001) as well as the soil infiltration capacity and thermo-hydrpic properties (Xu and Mermoud, 2003; Chahinian et al., 2006). As a result, tillage has many roles in soil water balance and crop production (Ogban and Babalola, 2002; Aboudrare et al., 2006; Jin et al., 2007). This is particularly true in semi-arid regions as tillage operations affect soil evaporation and water use efficiency (Mrabet, 2000; Aboudrare et al., 2006; Casa and Lo Cascio, 2008).

Sustainable management of agricultural and water resources requires to perform accurate simulations with crop-water balance coupled models at a regional scale. However, shortage of geolocated data on agricultural practices limits the operational use of crop models over large areas (Boote et al., 1996; Moulin et al., 1998; Faivre et al., 2004; Bastiaanssen et al., 2007). An alternative approach may consist in using remote sensing data to detect changes in soil surface states and relate them to agricultural operations.

In the optical domain, it is known from the early seventies that top-soil water causes a general decrease of surface reflectance (Skidmore et al., 1975; Muller and Décamps, 2000; Lobell and Asner, 2002). As well, the increase of surface roughness causes shadowing and a subsequent reduction of reflectances depending on illumination and viewing measurement conditions (Ciernewski, 1989; Jacquemoud et al., 1992). In addition, many properties affect soil spectra, e.g. mineral composition, amount of organic matter, particle size distribution, presence of crusts (Jacquemoud et al., 1992; Mathieu et al., 1998; Nagler et al., 2000).

---
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We used three FORMOSAT images as part of a time series collected in the semi-arid Tensift/Marrakech plain in Morocco (Duchemin et al., 2008a). They were acquired at the beginning of the wheat cropping season – December 8, 12 and 16, 2005, around 10:30 GMT – with a nearly constant sun-target-sensor configuration (viewing angle of 18.5°, solar elevation of about 35°). The images were georeferenced using an autocorrelation algorithm and a set of ground control points collected with GPS. Accuracy in geolocalisation was estimated to about half-pixel (4 m). The atmospheric correction was performed using the SMAC code (Rahman and Dedieu, 1994) with atmospheric water vapour content and aerosol optical depth collected by CIMEL sunphotometers installed in the vicinity of the study area. The quality of atmospheric correction is discussed in Hagolle et al. (2008).

The Advanced Synthetic Aperture Radar (ASAR), onboard the ENVISAT mission (http://envisat.esa.int/) launched in March 2002, operates at C-band (frequency 5.33 GHz, wavelength 5.6 cm) with 7 different incidence angles between 15° and 45°. The orbital cycle of ENVISAT/ASAR is 35 days, but the combination of different illumination/viewing configurations allows to increase the repetitivity of observations (e.g. 10 passes during the 35-day orbital cycle at 45° latitude). Between December 2005 and June 2006, 16 ASAR Alternating Polarisation images were collected, all in ascending pass and at high incidence angles (IS5 to IS7). The images were acquired in vertical and horizontal polarisations (VV and VH) at a spatial resolution of about 30 m. Radiometric calibration was performed following the procedure specified by the European Space Agency (Rosich and Meadows, 2004). All the images were superimposed using an automatic correlation algorithm based on contrasted objects visible in the images. After superimposition, a spatio-temporal filter was applied to reduce speckle effects. The filter is described in Lopes et al. (1993), Le Toan et al. (1997), and Queran and Yu (2001).

After this pre-processing, we selected the two ASAR images collected at the beginning of the wheat cropping season, December 10 and 13, 2005, acquired with 35.8° and 45.2° incidence angle, respectively. These two ASAR images were cogerested on FORMOSAT data using image-to-image correction (ENVI software, ©ITT Visual Information Solutions). Accuracy in coregistration was estimated to about 1 pixel (12.5 m).

2. Material

2.1. Remote sensing data and processing

The FORMOSAT-2 Taiwanese satellite was launched in May 2004. The Remote Sensing Instrument (RSI) onboard FORMOSAT-2 provides high spatial resolution images (8 m in the multispectral mode at nadir viewing) in four narrow spectral bands ranging from 0.45 μm to 0.90 μm (blue, green, red and near-infrared). Unlike other systems operating at high spatial resolution, FORMOSAT-2 may observe a particular area every day with the same viewing angle. However, only a part – about the half – of the Earth may be observed. More details about the specific orbital cycle and other characteristics of the FORMOSAT-2 mission could be found in Chern et al. (2006, 2008) as well as on internet (http://www.nspo.org.tw, http://www.spot-image.com).
Table 1
Timing of successive agricultural operations for wheat fields under conventional and reduced tillage. Starting and/or ending day is indicated when known.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tillage Practice</th>
<th>Agricultural Operation</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Time:</th>
<th>July → October</th>
<th>November</th>
<th>December</th>
<th>January</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2005</td>
<td></td>
<td>2006</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conventional</td>
<td>Ploughing (depth ~30cm)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>28...</td>
<td>...5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced</td>
<td>Harrowing (depth ~10-15cm)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced</td>
<td>Sowing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>12...</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced</td>
<td>Irrigation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.2. Experimental set up

The experiment was set up during the 2005–2006 wheat agricultural season on an irrigated area located at 40 km East of Marrakech. This area was intensively monitored as part of the SUDMED program (Chehbouni et al., in press; Duchemin et al., 2006, 2008a,b; Hadria et al., 2006, 2007; Er-Raki et al., 2007). It covers about 2800 ha and is almost flat (slope less than 1%), with deep soil of xerosol type and a fine, clay to loamy texture.

Land-use information and soil management practices were collected on 124 fields within the study area. The fields were delineated by digitizing the FORMOSAT images, and, after the filtering procedure, they can be easily identified on ASAR images (Fig. 1). Amongst these fields, about the quarter (35%) was not cultivated. The remaining fields were cultivated with wheat under conventional or reduced tillage practices on 64 and 25 fields, respectively.

Table 1 presents the timing of the successive soil management operations associated to conventional tillage (CT) and reduced tillage (RT). In the first case, the soil is ploughed to a depth of 30–40 cm immediately or few weeks after harvest (July to October). In both cases (CT and RT), the soil is harrowed to a depth of 10–15 cm a few days or just before the sowing (November to December) in order to level the surface and to prepare seedbed. In what follows these two operations are referred to as ploughing and harrowing, respectively. Finally, wheat seeds are placed using a conventional planter with a seeding rate ranging from 100 to 150 kg/ha (Hadria et al., 2007). Sowing dates ranged between November 28, 2005 and January 05, 2006. The fields were not flattened with a roller after sowing and there was no significant difference in soil surface states between harrowed and sown fields.

The study area is subdivided in many irrigation units that consist of an average of six fields of 4 ha (e.g. units 116 and 123 in Fig. 1). Irrigation is of flooding type, with the water provided by the regional public agency in charge of dam water management (ORMVAH). Irrigation water is supplied to the fields through an aerial network of concrete channels. About 3 weeks are required to irrigate the whole area. In 2005, the first irrigation round started on December 12 (see Table 1).

Climate is basically of semi-arid continental type. Climatic data were provided by a meteorological station installed at the center of the study area (near irrigation unit 116, see Fig. 1), and five rain gauges of the ORMVAH network located in the vicinity of the study area. According to these data, the beginning of the 2005–2006 agricultural season was very dry. Two minor rainfall events (10–15 mm) occurred mid- and end-November, after which no rain was recorded until December 21. As a consequence, the soil moisture was low, except in case of irrigation. Soil water content was measured using a gravimetric method on eight fields (3–5 samples by field) between November 26 and December 20. Soil moisture ranged from 0.04 to 0.09 m3/m3 in the top layer (0–3 cm), and from 0.07 to 0.19 m3/m3 in the 3–15 cm layer. Given the clay-loamy texture of soils, these values indicate very dry surfaces. Another consequence of this drought is that plant emergence was delayed. This year, emergence mainly occurred after the first effective rainfall at the end of December (see Duchemin et al., 2008b).

3. Characterisation of initial soil state—identification of tillage practices

The optical and radar responses were first analysed from the two first images, i.e. the FORMOSAT image acquired on December 8 and the ASAR image acquired on December 10, both before the first irrigation round. The average values of surface reflectances ($r$) and backscattering coefficient ($\sigma^0$) were computed over all the fields of study. The detection of surface states was thus investigated at the field scale, without accounting for the intra-field variability. We restricted the analysis to the fields with a minimum size of 1.6 ha; this corresponds to about 100 ASAR pixels, resulting in an accuracy on $\sigma^0$ of 0.3 dB at 90% of confidence interval. Fields were distinguished using four categories: (1) non-cultivated; (2) already sown, thus harrowed; (3) not yet harrowed – Conventional Tillage (ploughed); (4) not yet harrowed – Reduced Tillage (not ploughed). The two first categories were directly extracted from the field database, while we retained the fields that were sown the latest (from December 27, 2005 to January 5, 2006) to define the two last categories. These categories are associated to different surface roughness (see Davidson et al., 2000 for a statistical study), and three distinctive groups of fields were discriminated in order to analyse the radar and optical responses:

1) Group P – Ploughed fields – corresponding to the 3rd Category.
2) Group H – Harrowed fields – corresponding to the 2nd Category.
3) Group NP/NH – Nor Ploughed/Neither Harrowed fields – which encompasses the 1st and 4th categories.

Fig. 2 shows the comparison between field-averaged reflectances ($r_{NIR}$, FORMOSAT observations) and backscattering coefficients ($\sigma^0_{VV}$, ASAR observations). The dynamic ranges of satellite observations were the largest for the near infrared (NIR) waveband and the vertical (VV) polarisation, but additional plots obtained with other FORMOSAT spectral bands and with backscattering coefficient in horizontal polarisation look quite similar (not shown here).

1 The terms ‘not yet’ and ‘already’ are relative to the time of acquisition of the images.
as a consequence, there are overlaps in the reflectances (sharp clods without crusts) and higher topsoil moisture than old understood that recently harrowed fields display more shadows classes.

Dotted lines indicate the cluster boundaries used to classify soil surface states.

The values correspond to field averages. The fields that were not understood that these fields were ploughed in depth before being

harrowed or ploughed on December 10. This appears in agreement with their sowing dates recorded from field survey, which ranged from December 13 to 26.

4. Change detection—identification of ploughing and irrigation practices

Time series of field-averaged near infrared reflectances ($\rho_{\text{NIR}}$ from FORMOSAT, December 8, 12 and 16, constant sun-target-sensor geometry) and backscattering coefficients ($\sigma_0^{VV}$ from ASAR, December 10 and 13, with two different incidence angles) were analysed on several particular fields for which the timing and the nature of agricultural operations were accurately collected. Fig. 3a-f shows three typical cases of $\rho_{\text{NIR}}$ and $\sigma_0^{VV}$ time courses on fields where no change, harrowing or irrigation occurred.

4.1. Stable surfaces

Fig. 3a and b display four fields where no changes were observed between the first and the last satellite observations. $\rho_{\text{NIR}}$ remains quite stable around a value that depends on the initial surface state, with a slight positive slope of about 3% in relative value (Fig. 3a). The slight increase of reflectances can be due to residual error in atmospheric correction, the small decrease of sun elevations between December 8 and 16, or a trend of the surface to become smoother (erosion) and/or dryer (last rainfall observed on November 30).

In contrast of reflectances, there is a general decrease of backscattering coefficients from December 10 to 13 (Fig. 3b), due to the increase of ASAR incidence angle from IS5 to IS7 configuration. The level of $\sigma_0^{VV}$ appears governed by surface roughness, though quite the same for old ploughed and recently harrowed fields. The decrease of $\sigma_0^{VV}$ with incidence angle appears similar for both smooth and rough surfaces (around $-1.7 \, \text{dB}$). This appears promising in the perspective to normalise ASAR images acquired in different geometric configurations.

4.2. Harrowing

Fig. 3c and d present five fields that were harrowed between December 8 and 16. Looking at $\rho_{\text{NIR}}$ and $\sigma_0^{VV}$ time courses on the three adjacent fields within irrigation unit 123 (full lines in Fig. 3c and d) is very instructive. Indeed, a visual examination of the second FORMOSAT image acquired on December 12 allows to verify that the farmer was harrowing the p2 field at the exact time of the satellite overpass; more precisely, the p3 field was already totally harrowed, about two-thirds of the p2 field was harrowed, while the p1 field was not yet harrowed. This is consistent with the time courses of near infrared reflectances (Fig. 3c): $\rho_{\text{NIR}}$ displays a continuous decrease of reflectances between December 8 and 16 on the p2 field while the decrease is more obvious and limited in time, either between December 8 and 12 (p3 field, harrowed before the second FORMOSAT overpass) or between December 12 to 16 (p1 field, harrowed after the second FORMOSAT overpass). In contrast, the temporal variation of $\sigma_0^{VV}$ (Fig. 3d) appears the same as those of stable areas, even for p2 field that was harrowed on December 12 between the two ASAR acquisitions. This is due to the fact that these fields were ploughed in depth before being harrowed (conventional tillage).

Looking at $\rho_{\text{NIR}}$ and $\sigma_0^{VV}$ time courses on the two remaining fields (irrigation unit 20, dotted lines in Fig. 3c and d) confirmed that both ASAR and FORMOSAT offer the possibility to detect
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harrowing on the fields cultivated with reduced tillage practices. These fields were not ploughed before being harrowed, and there is a sharp increase of $\sigma_{0, VV}$ between December 10 and 13, which contrasts with the general decreasing trend. This atypical behaviour is caused by the increase of surface roughness after harrowing. For these two fields, it is thus possible to use both radar and optical images to date the harrowing between December 10 (ASAR first acquisition) and 12 (FORMOSAT second acquisition).

4.3. Irrigation

In Fig. 3e and f, the time courses of near infrared reflectances ($\rho_{\text{NIR}}$, December 8–16: subparts a, c and e) and backscattering coefficients ($\sigma_{0, VV}$, December 10–13, 2005: subparts b, d and f) over particular fields with different land use and agricultural operations. The legend indicates soil surface state (subparts a and b) and field number/irrigation unit (subparts c–f).

Fig. 3. Time courses of field-averaged reflectances ($\rho_{\text{NIR}}$, December 8–16: subparts a, c and e) and backscattering coefficients ($\sigma_{0, VV}$, December 10–13, 2005: subparts b, d and f) over particular fields with different land use and agricultural operations. The legend indicates soil surface state (subparts a and b) and field number/irrigation unit (subparts c–f).
fields were irrigated before December 13, in contradiction with the previous statements on irrigation duration. This anomaly is the result of the filtering applied on radar data, which is based on the assumption of surface stability on a spatial neighbouring (11 pixels, about 140 m). It appears that fields with low $\sigma_0^{VV}$ in the surroundings of fields with large $\sigma_0^{VV}$ appeared contaminated, and their backscattering coefficients were overestimated. This contamination is noticeable around irrigation unit 116 in field p1 as well as in some other parts of the study area (e.g. nearby the two northern studied fields or at the eastern part of the study area, see Fig. 1). In patchy agricultural landscapes, it may be more appropriate to incorporate the actual delimitation of fields during the processing scheme of radar data.

5. Mapping of soil management practices: algorithms and results

The final algorithm is a decision tree that integrates the a priori knowledge on schedules of agricultural operations (Section 2.2), the map of initial soil surface states (Section 3), and two additional rules derived from the change detection analysis (Section 4). These rules are applied on the successive satellite observations: a decrease of near infrared reflectances, by more than 1% in relative value, as well as an increase of backscattering coefficients, indicates either harrowing or irrigation. Initial surface states provide the three main branches at the basis of the decision tree, which operates as follows:

1. On “not ploughed-not harrowed” fields: a change indicates that harrowing occurred, and the combination of ASAR and FORMOSAT images allows an optimal dating of the operation.
2. On “ploughed-not harrowed” fields: a change is also a harrow, but it can only be detected on FORMOSAT images.
3. On harrowed fields, a change indicates that irrigation occurred, and both ASAR and FORMOSAT can be used to detect this operation, provided the pre-processing of radar data was improved.

The decision tree was applied to time series of field-averaged $\rho_{\text{B10}}$ and $\sigma_0^{VV}$ acquired between December 8 and 16. It provided a map containing the initial surface states and, if a change is detected, both the type of agricultural operation and the period of its occurrence (Fig. 4). This map was evaluated against the field data collected on the study area (Tables 2 and 3). This analysis confirmed the performance of the algorithm:

- All the changes identified from ASAR images, between December 10 and 13, were also identified with FORMOSAT, either between December 8 and 12 or between December 12 and 16.
- No change was detected on non-cultivated fields (compare red empty polygons in Fig. 4 and orange polygons in Fig. 1).
- There was only one field for which a harrowing is detected after the sowing date declared in the database (Table 2, consistency index of 97%). Amongst wheat fields included in the ‘not ploughed-not harrowed’ category, the sowing dates of the four fields where a harrowing was identified between December 10 and 12 (red dashed polygons in Fig. 4) ranged between December 10 and 14, the – single – field where a change was identified between December 13 and 16 (red dotted polygon in Fig. 4) was declared sown on December 14, and no change was detected on the remaining three fields that were declared sown after December 16.
- Irrigation was detected on the 6 fields that were sown the earliest (between November 28 and December 6). These 6 fields were recorded as irrigated between December 12 and 16, except one for which the irrigation date was December 18 in the database.

Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Detected harrowing period</th>
<th>Fields number</th>
<th>Observed sowing period</th>
<th>Consistency index</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Before 08/12</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>24/12–5/01</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08/12–12/12</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>26/12–31/12</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/12–12/12</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10/12–14/12</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/12–16/12</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>13/12–31/12</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13/12–16/12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>14/12</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After 16/12</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>14/12–27/12</td>
<td>66%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Detected irrigation period</th>
<th>Fields number</th>
<th>Observed sowing period</th>
<th>Consistency index</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>13/12–16/12</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4/12–6/12</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/12–13/12</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1/12–13/12</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Table 3, consistency index of 83%). Conversely, the fields where no irrigation was detected were all declared irrigated after December 20. These findings appear satisfactory with regard to the accuracy of the field survey.
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6. Conclusions and perspectives

In this study, we aimed at demonstrating the feasibility to detect tillage and irrigation operations using optical and radar satellite data with high spatial resolution (~10 m) and temporal repetitiveness (a few days). The demonstration was performed using five images (three from FORMOSAT and two from ASAR) acquired within one week at the beginning of the wheat cropping season in the semi-arid Marrakech/Tensift plain. Simple mapping algorithms, i.e. band thresholding and decision tree, were applied on these images with two objectives: (1) classify soil surface states in relation with land cover and tillage practices and (2) detect the agricultural operations that occurred during the week of study.

FORMOSAT and ASAR images were found complementary to classify soil surface states. On one hand, it was shown that recently harrowed fields can be discriminated from ploughed fields on FORMOSAT images. On the other hand, the high sensitivity of ASAR data to surface roughness was useful to distinguish smooth surfaces (non-cultivated areas or wheat fields not prepared for sowing) from others (ploughed or recently harrowed fields). In this experiment, there was thus a noticeable complementarity of the two sensors, beyond the increase of the temporal density of observations.

The most innovative part of this research concerns the detection of the agricultural operations that occurred during one week. There is a general stability of FORMOSAT successive observations due to constant viewing angles. This allowed to fully take advantage of the sensitivity of reflectances to soil surface states. In contrast, the use of ASAR data is less trivial due to the variation of the sun-target-sensor geometry from the first observation to the second, the saturation of the radar response to surface roughness and the high heterogeneity of the area of study. Nevertheless, large spatial variations of reflectances and backscattering coefficients were observed, even between fields belonging to the same category of surface roughness/soil management practices. Despite this variability, drastic changes caused by ploughing or irrigation were identified without ambiguity and with accuracy in their timing.

The conditions prevailing during the experiment were optimal: no clouds, low and uniform soil moisture, and absence of vegetation. Rain events, resulting in a uniform variation of satellite observations (drop of reflectances and rise of backscattering coefficients), are obviously one of the main limitation of such change detection analysis.

Besides this limitation, which is not often encountered in semi-arid areas, one major interest of our approach is the possibility to monitor agricultural operations at the beginning of the agricultural season. The perspectives concern real-time updating of land use maps (proportion of cultivated land, distribution of sowing dates, ...). This appears promising to establish prior estimates of seasonal crop water needs and to refine irrigation planning. The approach could be incorporated in decision support systems in agricultural water management and planning at a regional scale (see for instance Leenhardt et al., 2004; Satti and Jacobs, 2004; Simonneaux et al., 2007).
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