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[Running title] CRYPTIC SPECIES OF ANCHOVY
[Abstract]

Previous surveys of population structure in the Atlantic-Mediterranean anchovy *Engraulis encrasicolus* L. have reported heterogeneity in morphology, allozyme frequencies, and mitochondrial-DNA haplotype frequencies at a regional scale. In particular, two stocks of anchovy have been detected in the Adriatic Sea. Here I review the available data with the aim to relate genetic variation with geography at the widest possible geographical scale, for investigating the evolutionary mechanisms underlying stock structure in anchovy.

Correspondence analysis of allozyme frequencies (24 samples, 3 polymorphic loci) compiled from the literature indicated three distinct entities in the Mediterranean Sea. Open-sea or oceanic anchovy populations are genetically different from inshore-water populations within a region (Nei’s $^GST=0.035-0.067$), while broadscale geographical variation is weak for each of these two habitat-specific forms ($^GST=0.005-0.006$). Mitochondrial-DNA haplotype frequencies also support the distinction between an inshore form and an oceanic form ($^GST=0.067-0.107$), with virtually no genetic differences among oceanic populations across the Gulf of Biscay, the Western Mediterranean and the Ionian Sea ($^GST=-0.001$). If natural selection on marker loci is unimportant, these results indicate the occurrence of two parapatric, genetically distinct, habitat-specific forms that are widely distributed throughout the Mediterranean Sea. Persistent allele- and haplotype-frequency differences between these forms indicates reproductive isolation and the presence of an *E. encrasicolus* species complex in the Mediterranean.
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INTRODUCTION

Because of the economic value of the anchovy, *Engraulis encrasicolus* L. 1758, and the need for efficient management of its fishery, several genetic surveys designed to investigate stock structure have been carried out on this species, mostly in the Mediterranean Sea. Pasteur & Berrebi (1985) detected allozyme-frequency differences between anchovy populations in different habitats (open sea, brackish lagoon) in the Golfe-du-Lion. Spanakis, Tsimenides & Zouros (1989) detected significant differences between populations in the Aegean Sea and the Ionian Sea. Bembo et al. (1996a) found significant differences between a putative south-central stock and a putative northern stock in the Adriatic Sea. In contrast, Tudela, García-Marín & Pla (1999) reported no significant genetic structure (Nei’s $G_{ST}=0.003$) among oceanic populations over a 1000-km wide area in the northern part of the Western Mediterranean. These genetic differences are associated with morphological differences in the Eastern Mediterranean (Spanakis et al., 1989; Bembo et al., 1996a). However, Tudela (1999) reported morphological differences against a background of genetic homogeneity among anchovy samples from the northern Western Mediterranean and suggested that the environment was the main determinant of morphological variation among anchovy populations. Mitochondrial (mt) DNA studies have also been conducted to investigate stock structure. Bembo et al. (1995) detected heterogeneity in mtDNA haplotype frequency among anchovy samples around the Italian Peninsula. In another study, Magoulas, Tsimenides & Zouros (1996) reported that European anchovy mtDNA belonged to one of two distinct haplogroups or phylads ($A, B$), distinguished from each other by 3.7% nucleotide divergence. Magoulas et al. (1996) suggested that the two phylads evolved in geographical isolation from each other and that their present coexistence in the Mediterranean Sea resulted from secondary contact. Significant heterogeneity is found in the distribution of the two haplogroups across the Mediterranean (Magoulas et al., 1996).

Such levels of genetic variation among populations are rare in the pelagic realm, where as a rule only small genetic differences are detected across oceans (see for example Grant, 1984; Grant & Uter, 1984; Ward, 1995; Gold & Richardson, 1998; Grant & Bowen, 1998; Graves, 1998). The case of *Engraulis encrasicolus* therefore is of potential interest to study the patterns and processes of genetic differentiation in pelagic fishes. However, so far no clear geographic picture of genetic variation is available in Atlantic-Mediterranean anchovy.

In this article I re-examined the available morphological, allozyme, and mtDNA data for anchovy to (1) further explore the patterns of genetic variation at a supra-regional scale, and (2) examine its systematic status. I tested whether there were geographic patterns in genetic differentiation among populations, and searched for morphological and mtDNA correlates of allozyme-frequency variation.

METHODS

Allozyme frequency data on *Engraulis encrasicolus* were compiled from several sources in the literature (Pasteur & Berrebi, 1985; Spanakis et al., 1989; Bembo et al., 1996a,b; Tudela et al., 1999). The total data set was heterogeneous, with numbers of polymorphic loci scored ($r$) varying from 2 (Bembo et al., 1996a) to 8 (Bembo et al., 1996b; Tudela et al., 1999). Here I considered a locus to be polymorphic when the frequency of the most common electromorph in the total sample was $<0.95$ in at least one sample. The geographical coverage of a study was generally restricted to a particular region: the Golfe-du-Lion (Pasteur & Berrebi, 1985; $r=6, n=5$, ...
where \( n=\)number of samples); the Ionian and Aegean Seas (Spanakis et al., 1989; \( r=4, n=8\)); the Adriatic Sea (Bembo et al., 1996a; \( r=2, n=36\)); and the northern Western Mediterranean (Tudela et al., 1999; \( r=8, n=6\)). Only Bembo et al. (1996b; \( r=8, n=13\)) considered a range of samples, from the Gulf of Biscay in the north-eastern Atlantic and across the Mediterranean, but with only one sample from the Western Mediterranean. The largest-possible combined data set, in terms of both geographical range (\( n=24\); Fig. 1) and number of polymorphic loci scored in common (\( r=3\); Table 1) combines data from Pasteur & Berrebi (1985), Bembo et al. (1996b) and Tudela et al. (1999).

Tudela et al. (1999) sampled one region, the Tyrrenian Sea, in common with Bembo et al. (1996b) and the Golfe-du-Lion, in common with Pasteur & Berrebi (1985). Identities of the most common electromorphs across these three studies were established on the basis of similarity in relative electrophoretic mobility and regional frequencies. Identities of rarer electromorphs were uncertain, so all faster (respectively, slower) migrating electromorphs at a locus were collapsed into a single ‘F’ (resp. ‘S’) class (Table 1). No diagnostic locus was found for any paired sample, either from Table I or from any of the allozyme surveys considered in this review.

Correspondence analysis (CA; Benzécri, 1982) is an ordination technique based on eigenanalysis of a contingency table, which differs from principal component analysis by deriving both row- and column-axes simultaneously, and by using the \( \chi^2 \)-distance metric instead of the Euclidean distance. CA was performed on matrices of allozyme frequencies per sample using the AFC procedure implemented in BIOMECO (Lebreton et al., 1990). Guinand (1996) has shown that in this case the eigenvalues of each CA’s axis can be used as estimates of genetic differentiation between populations. CA allows the graphical representation of multiple-locus associations among samples through clustering in hyperspace. The CA algorithm allows the use of “supplementary elements”: either rows or columns of the data matrix, which are not taken into account for the calculation of the eigenvalues of an axis (unlike “active elements”), but which nevertheless can be placed in the graphical output. Supplementary elements are thus useful as reference marks.

CA was also used for determining whether the sample of mtDNA haplotypes analysed by Bembo et al. (1995) was heterogeneous, to eventually allow comparisons of the mtDNA data of these authors with those of Magoulas et al. (1996). Bembo et al. (1995) characterised individual mtDNA haplotypes by amplifying a 2.5-kb fragment of the ND5/6 gene using polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and cutting it using restriction enzymes. Their Table 1 presents 53 composite haplotypes (actually 51, because two of these appear to have been counted twice) defined by restriction profiles for 6 enzymes. For each enzyme, each restriction profile was assigned a value \( \chi^2 \) and subjected to CA using the AFC procedure implemented in BIOMECO (Lebreton et al., 1990). Guinand (1996) has shown that in this case the eigenvalues of each CA’s axis can be used as estimates of genetic differentiation between populations. CA allows the graphical representation of multiple-locus associations among samples through clustering in hyperspace. The CA algorithm allows the use of “supplementary elements”: either rows or columns of the data matrix, which are not taken into account for the calculation of the eigenvalues of an axis (unlike “active elements”), but which nevertheless can be placed in the graphical output. Supplementary elements are thus useful as reference marks.

CA was also used for determining whether the sample of mtDNA haplotypes analysed by Bembo et al. (1995) was heterogeneous, to eventually allow comparisons of the mtDNA data of these authors with those of Magoulas et al. (1996). Bembo et al. (1995) characterised individual mtDNA haplotypes by amplifying a 2.5-kb fragment of the ND5/6 gene using polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and cutting it using restriction enzymes. Their Table 1 presents 53 composite haplotypes (actually 51, because two of these appear to have been counted twice) defined by restriction profiles for 6 enzymes. For each enzyme, each restriction profile was assigned a value \( \chi^2 \) and subjected to CA using the AFC procedure implemented in BIOMECO (Lebreton et al., 1990). Guinand (1996) has shown that in this case the eigenvalues of each CA’s axis can be used as estimates of genetic differentiation between populations. CA allows the graphical representation of multiple-locus associations among samples through clustering in hyperspace. The CA algorithm allows the use of “supplementary elements”: either rows or columns of the data matrix, which are not taken into account for the calculation of the eigenvalues of an axis (unlike “active elements”), but which nevertheless can be placed in the graphical output. Supplementary elements are thus useful as reference marks.

CA was also used for determining whether the sample of mtDNA haplotypes analysed by Bembo et al. (1995) was heterogeneous, to eventually allow comparisons of the mtDNA data of these authors with those of Magoulas et al. (1996). Bembo et al. (1995) characterised individual mtDNA haplotypes by amplifying a 2.5-kb fragment of the ND5/6 gene using polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and cutting it using restriction enzymes. Their Table 1 presents 53 composite haplotypes (actually 51, because two of these appear to have been counted twice) defined by restriction profiles for 6 enzymes. For each enzyme, each restriction profile was assigned a value \( \chi^2 \) and subjected to CA using the AFC procedure implemented in BIOMECO (Lebreton et al., 1990). Guinand (1996) has shown that in this case the eigenvalues of each CA’s axis can be used as estimates of genetic differentiation between populations. CA allows the graphical representation of multiple-locus associations among samples through clustering in hyperspace. The CA algorithm allows the use of “supplementary elements”: either rows or columns of the data matrix, which are not taken into account for the calculation of the eigenvalues of an axis (unlike “active elements”), but which nevertheless can be placed in the graphical output. Supplementary elements are thus useful as reference marks.

CA was also used for determining whether the sample of mtDNA haplotypes analysed by Bembo et al. (1995) was heterogeneous, to eventually allow comparisons of the mtDNA data of these authors with those of Magoulas et al. (1996). Bembo et al. (1995) characterised individual mtDNA haplotypes by amplifying a 2.5-kb fragment of the ND5/6 gene using polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and cutting it using restriction enzymes. Their Table 1 presents 53 composite haplotypes (actually 51, because two of these appear to have been counted twice) defined by restriction profiles for 6 enzymes. For each enzyme, each restriction profile was assigned a value \( \chi^2 \) and subjected to CA using the AFC procedure implemented in BIOMECO (Lebreton et al., 1990). Guinand (1996) has shown that in this case the eigenvalues of each CA’s axis can be used as estimates of genetic differentiation between populations. CA allows the graphical representation of multiple-locus associations among samples through clustering in hyperspace. The CA algorithm allows the use of “supplementary elements”: either rows or columns of the data matrix, which are not taken into account for the calculation of the eigenvalues of an axis (unlike “active elements”), but which nevertheless can be placed in the graphical output. Supplementary elements are thus useful as reference marks.
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correlation between genetic distance and geographic distance would lead to the rejection of the isolation-by-distance model.

RESULTS

Two main clusters of samples appeared in the correspondence analysis of compound electromorph frequencies (Table I) of *Engraulis encrasicolus* samples from the Gulf of Biscay, the northern part of the Western Mediterranean, the Tyrrhenian sea, Sicily, the Adriatic sea, the Ionian sea, and the Aegean sea (Fig. 2). One cluster, ‘Group I’, included all samples from coastal habitat, from either brackish lagoons in the Golfe-du-Lion (samples *Ar*, *Ma*, and *Tb*) or the extreme north of the Adriatic Sea (samples *T1*, *T2*). A second cluster (‘Group II’) comprised samples exclusively from the open-sea habitat (*i.e.* samples *Bi*, *Gr*, *A1*, *A2*, *I1*, *Ty*, *V1*, *V2*, *EL*, *GL1*, *GL2*, *GR*, *LI*, *TA* of Fig. 2). We included two samples from Sicily (*S1*, *S2*) together with sample *Ae* from the northern Aegean sea into a third ensemble (‘Group III’). It was not clear whether samples *Sè* (Golfe-du-Lion) and *I1* (Ionian sea) belonged to Group II, or were slightly intermediate between Group II and Group III. Genetically intermediate samples may consist of mixtures of individuals from each group, or of hybrids. This could be tested from genotype counts: excess of heterozygotes would suggest the presence of hybrids and heterozygote deficiency would suggest Wahlund’s effect. Unfortunately, individual genotype data were not provided in any of the source articles to test these hypotheses. It is also possible that these samples belong to different genetic demes, or that the relative dispersion of samples in Group II reflect stochastic sampling variation. To check for internal stability of the clusters, CA was rerun on a portion of the data, as advised by Greenacre (1984). Thus considering a part of the data set [for instance, either all data of Pasteur & Berrebi (1985); or those of Tudela *et al.* (1999)] not as active, but as supplementary samples in the CA did not alter its outcome, only slightly diminishing the inertias of the two first axes (not shown). Importantly, Groups I-III defined as above remained.

Sample-pairwise genetic distances were plotted of against the corresponding geographic distances (Fig. 3). Even though Mantel’s randomisation test on the total matrix of pairwise data indicated a slight increase of genetic distance with geographic distance (*P*<0.05), suggesting an effect of isolation-by-distance, pairwise comparisons of samples from different Groups (open circles in Fig. 3) formed a cluster distinct from paired comparisons within Groups I, II, or III (filled circles). Therefore, most of the genetic variability shown on Fig. 2 cannot be explained by isolation by distance. The following results and discussion will focus mainly on the distinction between Group I and Group II anchovies.

The results of CA inform us about the structure of the data. To make inferences about population structure requires a confirmatory analysis. The distinction between Groups I and II was confirmed by a second CA on an independent dataset from the Adriatic sea, consisting of 29 additional samples characterised at two polymorphic loci (Bembo *et al.*, 1996a); six of a total of 35 samples analysed by these authors (*Ancona* 5/93 and 9/93, *Trieste* 5/93 and 9/93, and *Vieste* 4/93 and 9/93) were excluded from the analysis because they appeared to be the same as samples *A1* and *A2*, *T1* and *T2*, and *V1* and *V2*, respectively, in Bembo *et al.* (1996b), which were already used for Fig. 2. Figure 4 represents all the Adriatic Sea samples on the plane defined by the two first axes of CA. Two distinct groups appeared: one was defined by negative values along CA’s Axis 1 and corresponded to Group I defined above, by the position of samples *T1* and *T2* used as supplementary samples; the other was defined by positive values.
along Axis 1 and corresponded to Group II, as indicated by the position of supplementary samples A1, A2, V1, and V2. The coordinate of a sample along Axis 1 of this CA, which characterised samples genetically, was strongly correlated with the first canonical variate which characterises them morphometrically (figure 5 of Bembo et al., 1996a) (Spearman’s rank correlation coefficient, ns=0.791; n=27; P<0.001).

Focusing on Groups I and II in the Golfe-du-Lion and Adriatic Sea regions, \(^{\text{GST}}\) intra-Group across regions = 0.005-0.006 (P=0.050); \(^{\text{GST}}\) inter-Groups in a region = 0.035-0.067 (P<0.001). [Null-hypothesis probabilities of occurrence of \(^{\text{GST}}\)-values larger or equal to the observed value were estimated by permutation tests (GENETIX; Belkhir et al., 1996).]

Significant heterogeneity in mtDNA haplotype frequency among anchovy populations across the Mediterranean has been reported (Bembo et al., 1995; Magoulas et al., 1996). Five (Trieste, Tyrrhenian, Ionian, Sicily, Aegean) of the 7 samples (adding Ancona and Vieste) of Bembo et al. (1995) are useful to the present work because each can be assigned with confidence to one of the populations whose samples (respectively, T1 or T2, Tj, II or I2, S1 or S2, and Ae) were characterised at allozyme loci by Bembo et al. (1996b), hence ascribed to either Group I, II, or III. Samples Ancona and Vieste could both belong to either Group I or Group II depending on the sampling date (Bembo et al., 1996a; this Fig. 4). Since sampling dates have not been given in Bembo et al. (1995), these two samples cannot be used for comparisons with allozymes. The 51 composite mtDNA haplotypes detected in 140 individuals from all 7 Mediterranean samples clustered into two distinct clusters when subjected to CA (Fig. 5). One cluster spanned a small area on the plane defined by factorial axes 1 and 2 and comprised 21 haplotypes. This contributed 95% of the haplotypes found in the northern Aegean sea. The other cluster consisted of a diffuse ensemble of 30 haplotypes, to which belonged 80% of the haplotypes found in the northern Adriatic (Trieste). The wide dispersion of the points in this cluster means that the molecular relatedness of the haplotypes was less than within the other cluster. From these observations it can be deduced that the first cluster corresponds to phylad A of Magoulas et al. (1996) which is dominant in the Black sea (99%) and the Aegean sea (85%) and also exhibits a star-like phylogeny, whereas the second cluster corresponds to phylad B which is dominant in the northern Adriatic sea (86%) and also exhibits a more diffuse phylogeny. Three groups of samples can be distinguished in the study of Bembo et al. (1995), as in Magoulas et al. (1996), according to the respective proportions of A and B haplotypes. One group included all three Adriatic sea samples (Trieste, Ancona, Vieste) where the frequency of A was ≤25%; a second group included samples from the Tyrrhenian sea, the Ionian sea, and Sicily, with respectively 50%, 45%, and 45% A haplotypes; and a third group corresponded to the northern Aegean sea (95% A). From the restricted information thus available, it appears that Group I (sample Trieste) harbours a majority of haplogroup-B mitochondria, while ca. 50% of mitochondria in Group II (samples Tyrrhenian, Ionian) are of the A type. Group III samples appeared variable, with Sicily having 45% A mitochondria while Aegean reached 95%.

Combining the results of Magoulas et al. (1996) with those of Bembo et al. (1995; present re-analysis) shows that Group II anchovy have similar haplotype frequencies in the Gulf of Biscay (40% A; N=48), the Golfe-du-Lion (42% A; N=50), the Tyrrhenian sea (50% A; N=20), and the Ionian sea (45% A; N=20). This translates into \(^{\text{GST}}\)-=0.001. Using either the northern Adriatic sample of Magoulas et al. (1996) (14% A; N=65) or the Trieste sample of Bembo et al. (1995) (20% A; N=20) as representing Group I anchovy yielded \(^{\text{GST}}\)=0.067-0.107 between the two Groups. This result appeared to closely match allozymes’.
DISCUSSION

Local variation in morphology has been documented in Clupeoids, including *Engraulis encrasicolus* (Lee & Juge, 1965; Quignard, Hamdouni & Zouali, 1973; Spanakis *et al.*, 1989, and references therein; Bembo *et al.*, 1996a; Tudela, 1999). Although various subspecies of *E. encrasicolus* have been distinguished on the basis of morphology, they have not been retained in the current taxonomy of anchovies (Whitehead *et al.*, 1984; Spanakis *et al.*, 1989). Population genetic surveys have also yielded evidence of unusually high levels of genetic heterogeneity in this pelagic fish (Pasteur & Berrebi, 1985; Spanakis *et al.*, 1989; Bembo *et al.*, 1995; 1996a; 1996b; Magoulas *et al.*, 1996; Grant *et al.* in Grant & Bowen, 1998). However, none of these studies have raised the possibility that *E. encrasicolus* may consist of genetically distinct forms that occupy different habitats.

Allozyme frequency differences between anchovy samples collected at regular intervals over a two-year period throughout the Adriatic yielded evidence for separate, temporally stable 'stocks' (Bembo *et al.*, 1996a; Carvalho & Hauser, 1998). The geographical limit between stocks, as delineated by a drop in electromorph frequency for locus IDHP-2, was coincident with a change in hydrology between shallow (<50m) waters of the northern Adriatic and the deep sea waters of the southern-central Adriatic (Bembo *et al.*, 1996a). Remarkably, this boundary also corresponded to another delineation based on G3PDH-2 electromorph frequencies (Bembo *et al.*, 1996a). Although the northern Adriatic region is in geographic continuity with the open sea, hydrological data show that this region is a distinct, wide shallow area of brackish water. The northern Adriatic region is strongly affected by variation in freshwater discharge from rivers and is subjected to variable climatic forcing, both related to strong spatial and temporal variability of trophic conditions (Fonda-Umani *et al.*, 1992; Vichi, Zavatarelli & Pinardi, 1998). Similar conditions, which are characteristic of brackish-water ecosystems, are also encountered in the Golfo-di-Trieste where some of the Group I anchovy samples were collected.

The present analysis shows that the south-central Adriatic stock is genetically similar to geographically distant populations (Western Mediterranean, Gulf of Biscay), whereas the northern Adriatic stock is genetically similar to anchovies in the brackish lagoons of the Golfe-du-Lion. Hence, the hydrological regime in the Adriatic Sea does not physically separate local populations but rather delineates a major habitat that harbours a widely distributed form of anchovy, specifically adapted to inshore areas.

The heterogeneous arrangement of the points on Fig. 3 departs from the expectations under the neutral model of isolation by distance. Fig. 3 could be explained by the inclusion of ecologically and genetically distinct populations in the analysis. Assuming that the habitat-specific forms (Group I, Group II) are nevertheless conspecific requires selection. Bembo *et al.* (1996a) dismissed the selection hypothesis on the ground that too high mortalities would be necessary to counter the effect of migration, albeit small, between 'stocks'. Also, considering that the two loci showing significant differences between Group I and Group II anchovies in the Adriatic sea are independent, selection should be invoked simultaneously for two loci, which these authors deemed as highly unlikely. An additional argument for ruling out selection of particular allozyme genes arises from the evidence uncovered in this review. It seems very unlikely that the equilibrium between selection and migration would yield the same allelic frequency differences between Groups I and II in the Adriatic Sea and in the Golfe-du-Lion:
this would amount to admitting that the very same selective coefficients and the very same
migration rates between Groups are at work in these geographically distant regions.

Ruling out selection for the particular genes examined, one is left with the hypothesis that
Groups I and II, which are each genetically homogeneous across geographically distant regions
and which occur in parapatry (or even, perhaps, sympatry) within a region, form distinct gene
pools. The report of differences in mtDNA-haplogroup frequency between Groups I and II,
though preliminary, suggests some correlation with nuclear-DNA markers. In other words, the
two genomes do not recombine randomly. Group I and Group II *Engraulis encrasicolus* thus
appear to fit the genotypic-cluster definition of species (Mallet, 1995). High levels of gene flow
and perhaps stabilising selection are involved to maintain homogeneity within a Group across
geographically distant regions. Gene flow between Groups I and II in a region, if any, is
comparatively much restricted. This implies some degree of reproductive isolation between the
two Groups, which thus correspond to biological species. The maintenance of Groups I and II
as distinct species may not be due to reproductive traits *per se*, but perhaps to ecological
adaptations that select disruptively against intermediates or hybrids (Mallet, 1995) on either side
of the hydrographic boundary between inshore waters and oceanic waters.

Group I (inshore) and Group II (oceanic) anchovies can be distinguished by their
morphology (Bembo *et al.*, 1996a; this Fig. 4) and also have different colour patterns, different
counts of vertebrae, different maximal lengths, and different growth rates as inferred from
morphological distinction between a lagoonal form and an offshore form has also been noted in
Tunisia (Quignard *et al.*, 1973) but no sample from this region has ever been analysed using
allozymes, so currently it is not possible to determine the genetic affinities of Tunisian
anchovies to Groups I-III defined from Fig. 1.

The mtDNA data available so far are not sufficient for investigating whether haplotype
frequencies are geographically homogeneous for each habitat-specific form, but at least this was
suggested for Group II anchovies when combining the results of Magoulas *et al.* (1996) with
those of Bembo *et al.* (1995). MtDNA data also confirm that northern Aegean sea and Sicily
anchovy belong to different populations. The coexistence of three differentiated groups of
populations (according to both allozyme and mtDNA haplotype frequencies) but only two
mitochondrial clades suggests that the evolution of mitochondrial lineages did not parallel that
of the nuclear gene pools. Such a complex pattern raises the possibility that previously
geographically isolated populations have undergone secondary contact and introgressed
(Magoulas *et al.*, 1996), but only partly. Alternatively, one may hypothesize that mtDNA
diversity reflects ancestral variation that was apportioned differently between demes. Further
surveys of genetic variation in European anchovy should be designed to specifically address
these issues.

In conclusion, the present review highlights the usefulness of broadscale geographic surveys
of genetic variation for interpreting patterns of genetic structure at a regional scale. The
emerging result was that *Engraulis encrasicolus* appears to consist of at least two biological species
(Group I, Group II) which are both present in the Golfe-du-Lion and in the Adriatic Sea.
Group I anchovy occupy the inshore habitat of the two regions, while Group II anchovy are
found offshore in the oceanic waters of the Biscay Gulf, the Western Mediterranean, the central
and southern Adriatic Sea, and the Ionian Sea. I speculate that the form sampled in the northern
Aegean Sea and in Sicily (Group III) might belong to a third species, as preliminarily suggested
from morphometric, allozymic and mtDNA data (Spanakis *et al.*, 1989, and this review). Further
population studies of anchovy warrant more insight into the questions of geographic
differentiation and genetic architecture in pelagic fishes in relation with habitat specialisation
and historic events, as extensively debated in recent years (e.g. Bowen & Avise, 1990; Miya &
Nishida, 1997; Grant & Bowen, 1998; Graves, 1998; Turan, Carvalho & Mork, 1998; Nesbo et
al., 2000). From an applied perspective, updated taxonomy and reassessment of population
structure within each species will be indispensable tools for the management of anchovy
fisheries in the Mediterranean Sea and perhaps elsewhere in the eastern Atlantic.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source, (N)</th>
<th>Electromorph</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sample</td>
<td>IDHP-2&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PB85</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si</td>
<td>(32.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gr</td>
<td>(38.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tb</td>
<td>(27.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ar</td>
<td>(27.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ma</td>
<td>(24.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BE96</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td>(50.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2</td>
<td>(48.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A1</td>
<td>(50.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2</td>
<td>(50.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V1</td>
<td>(50.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V2</td>
<td>(49.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>(50.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L2</td>
<td>(48.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S1</td>
<td>(50.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2</td>
<td>(49.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ty</td>
<td>(50.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ar</td>
<td>(44.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bi</td>
<td>(39.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TU99</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TA</td>
<td>(100.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GR</td>
<td>(99.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GL1</td>
<td>(100.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GL2</td>
<td>(100.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EL</td>
<td>(99.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LI</td>
<td>(100.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1. Map of the Gulf of Biscay and the Mediterranean Sea with sampling locations for European anchovy, *Engraulis encrasicolus*. Abbreviations (the same as in Table 2) designate samples analysed for allozyme variation. Open triangles: samples also analysed for mtDNA variation by Bembo et al. (1995); stars: additional samples analysed for mtDNA variation by Magoulas et al. (1996).

Figure 2. Correspondence analysis (*CA1*): projection on plane defined by Axis 1 and Axis 2 (percentage of total inertia carried by an axis in brackets) of 24 *Engraulis encrasicolus* samples from the northeastern Atlantic ocean and the Mediterranean sea, characterised by their electromorph frequencies at three polymorphic allozyme loci. Abbreviations for samples as in Table I.

Figure 3. *Engraulis encrasicolus*. Regression of pairwise genetic distances, $\Delta G_{ST}/(1-\Delta G_{ST})$ (Rousset, 1997), on geographical distances [$DG$, measured using a map measurer (Wedo, Germany) by following the continental shelf on a 1:3 000 000 Delisle equidistant conic projection map; Anonymous, 1990] among the samples of Fig. 1. A correlation between the two pairwise distance matrices was observed [Mantel's test (implemented in GENETIX; Belkhir et al., 1996), $R^2=0.069$; $P=0.036$], but heterogeneous clustering shows that this primarily was not due to isolation by distance. Source data identical to those used for Fig. 1. Full (resp. open) circles designate pairs of samples from a single (resp. two different) Group(s).

Figure 4. Correspondence analysis (*CA2*): projection on plane defined by Axis 1 and Axis 2 of 35 *Engraulis encrasicolus* samples from the Adriatic sea, characterised by their electromorph frequencies at allozyme loci G3PDH-2 and IDHP-2 (data from Bembo et al., 1996a). Canonical correspondence analysis on morphometric data for 27 of these samples (Bembo et al., 1996a) distinguished two groups: bold, first canonical variate (CV1)<0; italic, CV1>0; smaller characters, no morphometric data available. Sample locations: An, Ancona; Ca, Cattolica; Ch, Chioggia; Po, Porto Garibaldi; R, Rimini; Sa, San Benedetto; Tr, Trieste; Vi, Vieste. Samples A1, A2, T1, T2, V1, and V2 of Fig. 1 were treated as supplementary variables in *CA2*, so that *CA2* was independent from *CA1*.

Figure 5. Correspondence analysis: projection on plane defined by Axis 1 and Axis 2 of the 51 mitochondrial-DNA haplotypes found in 7 Mediterranean samples of European anchovy, *Engraulis encrasicolus* (data from Bembo et al., 1995) leading to the distinction between A and B haplogroups. The restriction profile for each of 6 restriction enzymes was considered as an independent character in the matrix of haplotypes x characters and coded as 1 (present) or 0 (absent).
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