



HAL
open science

Eco-labelling in fisheries along West African coast : the potentials and pitfalls

Marie-Christine Cormier-Salem, Alassane Samba

► **To cite this version:**

Marie-Christine Cormier-Salem, Alassane Samba. Eco-labelling in fisheries along West African coast : the potentials and pitfalls. International Symposium of IIFET. International Institute of Fisheries Economics and Trade : Economics of Fish Resources and Aquatic Ecosystems : Balancing Uses Balancing Costs, Jul 2010, Montpellier, France. 12 p. multigr. ird-00639346

HAL Id: ird-00639346

<https://hal.ird.fr/ird-00639346>

Submitted on 8 Nov 2011

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

In the context of Ocean resource depletion and marine biodiversity erosion, most of initiatives focus on value chain promotion in addition and in combination with all activities related to fisheries co-management, eco-labelling and fair trade models to create synergies and maximize benefits and long term sustainability of the fishery. While industrial fisheries will never be able to cope with ecofriendly criteria, sharps argument favor small-scale or artisanal fisheries to developpe schemes rending better fish quality. Thanks to higher quality, the fishermen have access to premium market, get higher earnings and social provisions.

Illustration of two main trajectories

In developping countries, and especially in West-african sea countries such as Senegal and Mauritania, two main trajectories could be highlighted: in one hand, valorisation of origin-based products (promotion of products as origin), which are more or less « traditional », export oriented and supposed to integrate world market, to improve value chain thanks to international norms, labels and even, ecocertification; in the other hand, local traditional products, with a well established reputation and distributed through diversified commercial chains (local, national and interreregional) controled by women producers, not candidate to ecocertification or official labellisation, but rather to quality signs and collective brand.

The first one, very few and limited (in exchanged volume, extension of production area, involved actors along the sea food chain) could be illustrated through the study case of mullet fishery ecocertification in Mauritania [29, 30 ,31, 32]. Mullet fishery system is the basis of Imrâgen livelihood. Mullet (*Mugil cephalus* Linné) and processed products are the main (unique) source of income : dried fish (*tishtâr*), salted and crushed fish (*khlî*), *poutargue*, gonads pressed, salted and dried (*beydh ezôl*) and oil from fish head and internal organs (*dhen*) are traditionnaly processed and sold by Imrâgen fishermen wives. With the creation of PNBA (National Park of Banc d'Arguin) in 1976, Imrâgen population is divided into two communities : inside the Marine Protected Areas, strong constraints in terms of resources access and uses are imposed, in favor of « traditional » and « ecological » fishing methods (only « shoulders » net, canoë with veil called « lanches », etc.) ; outside, motorized small-scale fishing with various gillnets develops. Since mid-1990s, processing activities controled by women are in crisis, due to several factors, among which: growing fishing effort linked to increasing fishermen populations (from Mauritania and Senegal) and less selective gears outside the Park ; increasing demand of *Mugil* gonads sold fresh by wholesalers to Nouakchott companies and exported to European market.

In 2001, IUCN (International Union for Conservation of Nature) and FIBA (International Fund for Banc d'Arguin) decide to support Imrâgen fishery system inside the Park in promoting mullet products as origin. This project, which aims at mid-term an eco-certification of *Mugil* fishery, has three goals : *Mugil* stocks preservation, traditional knowledge conservation and Imrâgen economic development. Innovations concern landing fish access (microcredit), production and processing conditions (training in hygienic norms and Western criteria of quality ; distribution of working riel: buckets, pots, knives, balances, cupboards, etc., new), packaging and sales. During the fishing campaign 2006-2007, a test was proposed to the women of two villages of the Park. It concerns above all *poutargue* product, which has a high value (around 200 \$/kg on world market) and is destined to European consumers, living in Nouakchott. Poutargue is putting under vacuum to improve the appearance and the preservation. The product is bought to the women between 20 and 30 \$M/kg according to its quality, a little more than the price offered by the local wholesaler, and sold around 60 \$/kg in Nouakchott in supermarkets as well as in the headoffice of the PNBA. At the end of season, benefices are supposed to be redistributed to the women.

Contacts are established between IUCN and Marine Stewardship Council (MSC), leading international organisation for fisheries eco-certification, whose principles are consistent with FAO-Guidelines for Eco-labelling of marine capture fisheries [2]. At the end of November,

2007, MSC declares officially PNBA mullet fishery ecocertification is undergoing full assessment procedures.

Another initiative in favor of « poutargue » promotion is conducted by a Mauritanian NGO, called « Mauritanie 2000 » supported by Slow Fish [23, 32]. It concerns all the Imrâgen women of the coast (inside and outside the Park) involved in *poutargue* production and aims to improve processing techniques and products packaging and so, give opportunities to enter new markets. Today, the *poutargue* of Imrâgen is one of the ten "sentinels" products, qualified by Slow-Food in Africa. This concept « sentinel » designates local product and the attached know-how, badly known and jeopardised of disappearance.

The second trajectory is well illustrated by Saloum or Casamance cockles exploitation and value-adding initiatives that aim to develop alternatives sustainable activities in a context of rural crisis (drought, population pressure, renewable resource overexploitation, decline of cereal culture, migration of young people, etc.) [17, 33]. Most of them focus on women, who play a main role in fish and cockles collect, processing and trade. Initiatives are either exogenous like Enda Graf Sahel project called « Women and Cockles », either endogenous, in the hand of women entrepreneurs like Alimatou Sarr from Dionewar or supported by Santa Yalla project of Casamance. Women replicate the traditional organisation of « age group » into operational groups and co-operatives (Economic Interest Group) officially recognised and supported by funding agencies. The process of labelling mainly consists of guaranteeing the safety of the product (flushing and washing several times with water, addition of chlorine, three successive cookings) and improving its presentation and its traceability (vacuum bagging, labelling). Innovations also include exploitation techniques in favor of mangrove ecosystem and biodiversity conservation. For example, the Japanese cooperation has introduced oyster seedings in shallow waters. Traditional and sustainable techniques of shellfish collect are also encouraged, such as the "Moundé" baskets, which ensures that the smallest shellfish are not harvested.

Territorial qualification of seafood: new challenges

Certification tools are not the panacea. As a matter of fact, West African artisanal or processed seafood products are very few to be certified, and even less to candidate to be certified. According to a GTZ-feasibility study on eco-labelling in Senegal [27], only 4 fish could be candidated: shrimp, lobster, octopus and cuttlefish. Mismatches between certification requirement and the reality of many small-scale fisheries are obvious. Also, only few developing countries' fisheries have been applying for certification by the MSC. Main reasons are the predominant small-scale multi-gear and multi-species fisheries, the general lack of data and organisational structure, the lack of fishery management and regulation and also insufficient capacities and capabilities for efficient enforcement [1, 4].

In the case of Fair-fish in Senegal, according to his director Billo Heinzpeter Studer [5], project stops because :1) there was a too long period till first success ; 2) there was a huge gap between demands of Swiss supermarket Migros and conditions of small-scale fisheries in Senegal and so, continuous needs of increasing project funds ; 3) Swiss project management was optimistic : regarding the gap between demands and conditions, there was a too long period of remuneration of the local team by time instead of achievement ; 4) local staff was overstrained. There was a mutual frustration by not fulfilled tasks that leads to cumulated cases of neglect of duties ; 5) one-track orientation and one retail chain lead to dependancy and heteronomy.

Labels and official signs to guaranty products quality « theoretically » allow consumers to make informed choice and producers to secure their market acces (stability of furniture and quality, credibility), increase their income (higher price of local products) and improve their farming and fishing system (sustainable and equitable share of benefices). However, as it is pointed out by many studies on eco-labelling in developing countries [1, 27, 32, 33], tensions

are significant between markets, norms and actors involved in these processes. Also, international market induces more complex networks with new middlemen (wholesalers, retailers) and longer chain between producers and consumers. Moreover, local norms are not compliant with increasingly stringent food safety, hygiene and product traceability norms. So, there are sharp tensions between origin-products typicity and standardisation. Basis of quality criteria often lack of transparency and certificate organisms are not enough independent. Role of government in voluntary labelling and certificate and implication of actors along the entire supply chain are also in question: degrees of acceptance vary from one stakeholder to another. As tools are heavy, expensive and binding, some actors are *de facto* excluded from this value-chain [33].

Lessons from African eco-labelling initiatives in fishery

African ecolabelling in fishery studies and Biodivalloc program analysis [5,27,29,33] demonstrate labelling tools have to be chosen according to the market orientation and adapted to the local context.

Labelling tools are free and voluntary. They encompass various procedures and steps, from « simple » labels and norms to certifications (which need guaranty and third external organism to certificate), from informal to official signs of quality. Each of them have threats and opportunities [29]. Also, official signs of quality allow public recognition but they cost a lot ; they allow immediate credibility but also possible sanctions ; price is higher but multiple diversified networks are unadequate and local specificities not preserved. On the opposite, informal signs or collective brand are more adaptable, more flexible to local context but not recognised ; reputation is based on confident and self control, which is not enough to construct credibility and justify higher price.

A major stake concerns « quality », currently focused on two aspects, sanitation and sustainability [34]. In fact, two kinds of quality has to be distinguished [35]: intrinsic depends on the products themselves –gustatory, nutritional or medical value (see for instance in France Red Label and AOC) ; "extrinsic" quality is conferred by the conditions of exploitation, processing and marketing –respect for the environment, responsible and sustainable uses, poverty reduction, equitable sharing of benefits generated by Protected Areas, etc. (see for instance Fair-trade, IGP, ecocertification). Some of these criteria are compatible, but very often they are contradictory –for instance hygiene criteria often conflict with organoleptic criteria, normalisation does not fit with specification (or typicity) [5, 30].

In the case of mullet fishery and cockles exploitation, the two trajectories have diverse promises and threats : *poutargue*, high-valued product, export-oriented, is not really an Imrâgen product ; innovations, imposed by international norms and eco-certification via MSC are not always well accepted by local actors, but they ensure access to « market niches». On the contrary, cockles are traditional identity productsⁱⁱⁱ. The « old » food chain for domestic consumers and the improved food chain for urban or foreign, richer and warned consumers, could co-exist and respond to high and diversified demand on the local, national and African market. Nevertheless, cockles cannot be exported towards European or Asian markets because of sanitary constraints. Elaborate a collective brand could be a better tool than ecocertification to protect biodiversity and promote local products on national markets [17,29].

Conclusion : Issues and recommendations

Most of the eco-labelling processes aim first to improve local products value chains. They are oriented to market niches and are *per se* limited in terms of exchanged volumes. Beyond legal and commercial goals, ethical and ecological preoccupations have growing place. Quality economy, solidarity, equity and responsibility are becoming key words. However, as illustrated by Senegalese and Mauritanian studies, these tools suffer from inner tensions. Innovative processes lead to various changes, especially in terms of : resource and territory access, social

organisation (with complex recomposition of actors' power, from producers to consumers), value-chain and quality criteria. These tools, which are supposed to alleviate poverty, on the contrary could stress disparities and aggravate inequities (between men and women, young and elder, resident and migrant, etc.). In the context of African food crisis, another major stake is to guarantee for every body the access to a secure, healthy diet and quality (intrinsic and extrinsic) products.

Finally, the success depends on 1) the capacity of the producers to get organized and identify the relevant markets for their products, 2) consumers awareness for sustainable issues related to production, food quality and trade and responsiveness (militant market and consumers reliability) [4, 36].

To prevent the mismatches, following recommendations are suggested : 1) Strengthen the links between eco-labelling incentives and coastal management policies ; incorporate seafood products promotion in the ICZM ; consider ecolabelling as a tool of marine biodiversity conservation. There is a need of public incentives and local actors' capacity-building support. Particular attention has to be paid to property rules (access and uses right, benefits sharing, actors interactions) ; 2) conduct markets analysis at various scales (especially at West African scales) and study complexity of value-chain and market segmentation and/or competition and substitution ; 3) promote information sharing and initiatives exchanges among all stakeholders ; make Localised Seafood Systems more recognised ; create a meeting and advertising place like a « house of seafood products ».

References

- [1] Wessells, C., Cochrane, K., Deere, C., Wallis, P. and Willmann, R. 2001. Product certification and ecolabelling for fisheries sustainability. FAO, *Fisheries Technical paper* 422, Rome, Italy.
- [2] FAO. 2005. *Guidelines for the Eco-labelling of Fish and Fishery Products from Marine Capture Fisheries*. Rome, FAO, 3.
- [3] Gulbrandsen, L. H. 2006. Creating markets for eco-labelling: are consumers insignificant? *International Journal of Consumer Studies* 30 (5), 477 p.
- [4] Cormier-Salem, M.C. and Roussel, B. (ed). 2009. Des produits de terroir pour conserver la diversité biologique et culturelle au Sud. Enjeux, acteurs, instruments. IRD, *Autrepart*, 50, 214p.
- [5] Cormier-Salem, M.C., Goisbault, L., Sarr, O., Ka, S. 2009. Biodiversité littorale et projets de valorisation des productions localisées en Afrique de l'Ouest. Dakar, Sénégal, Compte-rendu des travaux de restitution de l'équipe 1 Biodivalloc, 1-7 novembre 2009. Dakar, UMR 208 IRD/MNHN, 13p. multigr.
- [6] Moity-Maïzi, P., de Sainte Marie, C., Geslin, P., Muchnik, J., Sautier, D. 2001. Systèmes agroalimentaires localisés. Terroirs, savoir-faire, innovations. *Etud Rech Syst Agraires Dev* 32 : 216 p.
- [7] Mutersbaugh, T, Klooster, D, Renard, MC, Taylor P. 2005. Certifying rural spaces : Quality-Certified Products and Rural Governance (special issue). *J Rural Stud*, 21 : 381-8.
- [8] Muchnik, J., Cañada J. S., Torres Salcido G., 2008. Systèmes agroalimentaires localisés : état des recherches et perspectives. *Cahiers Agricultures* 17 (6) : 513-519.
- [9] Bérard, L. and Marchenay, P. 2004. *Les produits de terroir entre cultures et règlements*, CNRS Edition, Paris, France.
- [10] Bartlett, D., Carter, R.W.G., 1991. Seascape ecology : the landscape ecology of the coastal zone. *Ekologia*, CSFR, 10(1): 43-53.
- [11] Laloë, F., Rey, H., Durand, J-L. eds, 1995. *Questions sur la dynamique de l'exploitation halieutique*. Paris, Orstom, coll. Colloques et séminaires, 542p.
- [12] Laloë, F. and Samba, A. 1990. *La pêche artisanale au Sénégal : ressource et stratégies de pêche*. Paris, Orstom, coll. Études et Thèses, 395p.
- [13] CRODT/ISRA. 2006. Recensement national de la pêche artisanale maritime sénégalaise,

- Rapport final. Dakar, CRODT/ISRA, Mars 2006, 151p.
- [14] DPM. 2010. *Résultats généraux de la pêche maritime sénégalaise en 2008*. Dakar, Direction des Pêches Maritimes, juillet 2010.
- [15] CRODT/ISRA, 2009 : Présentation du système d'informations national sur la pêche et statistiques de la pêche maritime sénégalaise de 1997 à 2008. Archive scientifique n° 148, Novembre 2009, 63p.
- [16] Bricas, N. 2006. *La pluralité des références identitaires des styles alimentaires urbains en Afrique*. Journées d'études du GDR "Economie et sociologie", Les marchés agro-alimentaires, 23-24 mars 2006.
- [17] Sarr, O. and Cormier-Salem, M.C. 2007. Shell's Valorisation Policy in Saloum (Senegal). In : Promoting local specialities from Southern Countries. Origin-based products and biodiversity : heritage, territories, governance. *Contribution for the International Symposium*, 23-28 April 2007, CFEE/EPA/IRD/IDDRI, Addis-Abeba, Ethiopia.
- [18] Cormier-Salem, M.C.(ed). 1999. *Rivières du Sud. Sociétés et mangroves ouest-africaines*, IRD Edition, Paris, France.
- [19] Chauveau, J.P. 1986. Une histoire maritime africaine est-elle possible? Historiographie et histoire de la navigation et de la pêche africaine à la côte occidentale depuis le XVIe s. *Cahiers d'Études Africaines*, 101-102, 26 (1-2): 173-235.
- [20] Chaboud, C., Kébé, M. 1990. *Commercialisation du poisson de mer dans les régions intérieures du Sénégal*. Dakar, Centre Rech. Océanogr. Dakar-Tiaroye/Inst. Scient. Rech. Agr. 300p.
- [21] Bernardon, M., Mohamed Vall, M. O., 2004. *Le mullet en Mauritanie: biologie, écologie, pêche et aménagement*, Nouackchott, FIBA-PRCM-UICN.
- [22] Moity-Maïzi, P. 2006. Artisanas et artisans dans la transformation de poissons au Sénégal. In : Granié, A.M. and Guétat-Bernard, H. (ed) *Empreintes et inventivité des femmes dans le développement rural*. Presses Universitaires du Mirail / IRD, Toulouse, France.
- [23] Slow Food, 2006. La sentinelle de la poutargue de mullet des femmes imraguen. Manuel de production. Fondazione Slow Food pour la biodiversité, Italie, Alberto Peroli Febrario,
- [24] Walter, C. 2006. *Femmes et coquillages vers une gestion participative de la ressource*. Rapport de stage Master II, IUEM, Brest.
- [25] IDEE Casamance & Blueyou, 2007. *Eco-labelling and Value Chain Promotion of the Casamance Shrimp fishery. Achieving sustainability through Fisheries Co-Management and Market Incentives*. IDEE Casamance & Blueyou, Ziguinchor (Senegal) and Zurich (Switzerland), Funding proposal, 30p.
- [26] Brenier, A., Henriques, A., Le Douget, L. 2009. *Des femmes et des coquillages... Expériences d'un projet de conservation dans le Delta du Saloum*. FIBA, ENDA Graf Sahel, IRD, Dakar, Sénégal, rapport de la mission d'évaluation, 48p.
- Blueyou, ENDA/REPAO & GTZ (coord), 2007. *Feasability study. Eco-labelling of artisanal coastal fisheries in Senegal*. Blueyou & ENDA/REPAO, Zurich et Dakar, May 2007, 74p.
- [27] Blueyou, ENDA/REPAO & GTZ (coord), 2007. *Feasability study. Eco-labelling of artisanal coastal fisheries in Senegal*. Blueyou & ENDA/REPAO, Zurich et Dakar, May 2007, 74 p.
- [28] Phillips B., Ward, T. and Chaffee, C. 2003. *Eco-labelling in Fisheries: What Is it All About?* Oxford, Blackwell Science, 196p.
- [29] Queffelec, B. 2007. *Analyse juridique des initiatives et potentialités de valorisation de produits de la mer en Afrique de l'ouest*, Rapport de recherche, Biodivalloc, ANR 05 BDIV02, Paris.
- [30] Cormier-Salem, M.-C. 2008. « Les "produits de terroir" dans les Suds : des liens incontournables entre qualité et durabilité ? », in A. Da Lage et al (eds), *L'après-développement durable. Espaces, nature, culture et qualité*. Paris, collection ellipses : 157-166.
- [31] Boulay, S. 2007. *Aspects sociaux et culturels des produits issus de la transformation du mullet chez les Imragen du Banc d'Arguin et modalités d'insertion locale de démarches de*

valorisation, Rapport de recherche, Programme BIODIVALLOC (ANR Biodiversité), UR 169 de l'IRD.

[32] Boulay, S., Boncoeur, J., Charles, E., Cormier-Salem, M.-C., Queffelec, B. 2009. *La valorisation des produits imrâgen : une voie durable au service de la diversité biologique et culturelle du parc national du Banc d'Arguin, Mauritanie*. Contribution au colloque « localiser les produits », Paris, Unesco, 9-12 juin 2009.

[33] Cormier-Salem M.-C, Bernatets C., Sarr O., 2010. "Mangrove system sustainability. Public incentives and local strategies ". In: C.T. Hoanh *et al.* ed, *Managing the coastal land-water interface in the tropical delta systems*. IWMI/IRRI/Univ New Castle, Comprehensive Assessment of Water Management in Agriculture series: chap 30: 409-421.

[34] Mariojous, C. 2000. Introduction to quality: quality concepts, quality perception by producers, clients and consumers; quality signs (geographic origin, ecolabelling, etc.); translation of quality concepts into products procedures and services. *Cah. Opt. Medit.* 51, 15-22.

[35] Charles, E. and Boude, J.P. 2004. Exploitation d'une ressource naturelle et politique de valorisation par des signes de qualité. La pêche de bar de ligne de Bretagne. *Economies et Sociétés*, série Socio-économie du travail (AB), 23, 14p.

[36] Jacquet, J.L., Pauly, D. 2007. The rise of seafood awareness campaigns in an era of collapsing fisheries. *Marine Policy* 31:308–13.

Footnotes

* These paper is issued from Biodivalloc programme (ANR05 BDIV02) titled: “From localised products to geographical indications: which tools to conserve biodiversity in mega-biodiverse countries?” and a symposium organised in Dakar in November 2009.

** Main processed fish are : *gej* (putrified, salted, dried fish), *sali* (salted-dried), *kecax* (braised-dried), *yeet* (putrified, salted, dried volute), *pañe* (boiled-dried arks), *tuffë* (boiled-dried murex), *yoxos* (grilled-dried oyster), *tambajang* (salted-dried pelagic fish), *metora* (smoked).

*** Other Imrâgen products – *tishtar*, oil, etc- have the same trajectories than cockles. There is room for collective brands -like Park label- to furnish national markets and West African markets.